

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_128471
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of the Drone and Knapsack Sprayed Herbicides on the plant phytotoxicity and chlorophyll content in Rabi Groundnut
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Title is important but in the manuscript which is wrote by author not sufficient in manuscript as review article.  After correction is more valuable for our research article community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract of the article is not comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not wrote article on the one format. References are not relevant in the manuscript. Many paragraph is write on different font, which I mansions in draft copy/ MS copy of the article.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, english quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Gagan Singh Guru
Department, University & Country	Government Digvijay Autonomous postgraduate College Rajnandgaon, India