

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128371
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Resilience, Optimism and Socio-Economic Status on Coping in Parents among Visually and Hearing-Impaired Children
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript makes a significant contribution to the scientific community by shedding light on the critical role of resilience, optimism, and socio-economic status in shaping parental coping strategies for children with visual and hearing impairments. By highlighting these key factors, the study provides valuable insights for the development of family-centered interventions aimed at enhancing parental well-being and supporting child development. The review also emphasizes the need for policymakers and healthcare providers to address socio-economic disparities, ensuring equitable access to resources and support for all families. Moreover, this manuscript offers a foundation for future research, encouraging scholars to explore the complex interplay of psychological, social, and economic factors in parental coping.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title, "Impact of Resilience, Optimism, and Socio-Economic Status on Coping in Parents among Visually and Hearing-Impaired Children," is descriptive and reflects the key variables of the study. However, it can be improved for clarity, conciseness, and impact. The phrase "Coping in Parents among Visually and Hearing-Impaired Children" is somewhat unclear and could be rephrased to emphasize the role of parents.</p> <p>Suggestions for Improvement</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Option 1: "The Role of Resilience, Optimism, and Socio-Economic Status in Parental Coping for Children with Visual and Hearing Impairments" Option 2: "How Resilience, Optimism, and Socio-Economic Status Influence Parental Coping with Visual and Hearing-Impaired Children" Option 3: "Resilience, Optimism, and Socio-Economic Status as Predictors of Parental Coping in Families of Children with Sensory Impairments" Option 4: "Parental Coping Strategies for Children with Visual and Hearing Impairments: The Role of Resilience, Optimism, and Socio-Economic Status" Option 5: "The Impact of Psychological and Socio-Economic Factors on Parental Coping in Families with Sensory-Impaired Children" <p>Recommendation</p> <p>Option 1 is recommended because it is clear, concise, and accurately reflects the core themes of the manuscript. Let me know if you'd like further adjustments or new title suggestions.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a general overview of the manuscript, but it lacks some critical elements necessary for a comprehensive and clear summary. While it mentions the study's objectives and the key variables (resilience, optimism, and socio-economic status), it fails to provide sufficient detail about the methodology, key findings, and practical implications. Additionally, there is some redundancy in the description of "resilience," which could be simplified</p> <p>Suggestions for Improvement</p> <p>Here are specific suggestions to make the abstract more comprehensive and impactful:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include Methodology: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Mention the type of review (e.g., "This study conducted a systematic review of 50 peer-reviewed articles published from 2020 to 2023, following PRISMA guidelines"). ○ Indicate the databases used for article selection (e.g., PubMed, Scopus, etc.). 2. Highlight Key Findings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Add specific results, such as "The review found that parental resilience was significantly associated with lower stress levels, while optimism promoted proactive coping. Socio-economic status played a pivotal role in access to educational and medical resources." 3. Include Practical Implications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ State how the results can be applied in practice. For example, "These findings emphasize the importance of family-centered interventions to enhance parental resilience, improve optimism, and reduce socio-economic disparities in access to support services." 4. Remove Redundant Information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Avoid repeating definitions (e.g., resilience) and focus on the unique contributions of the study. ○ Consolidate ideas to make space for more critical information. 	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript has strong conceptual foundations and presents relevant findings for understanding parental coping strategies in the context of sensory impairments. However, it lacks scientific rigor in its methodology, reporting of data, and clarity of research aims. To improve the scientific accuracy of the manuscript, the authors should address the following key points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a clear research aim or question. 2. Include a PRISMA flowchart and specify the total number of articles reviewed. 3. Use consistent terminology for "children with sensory impairments." 4. Support all major claims with evidence and ensure all citations are verifiable. 5. Present more specific data, such as prevalence rates or effect sizes, from the reviewed studies. 6. Address study limitations, including potential selection bias and the exclusion of non-English studies. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references used in the manuscript are adequate but require improvement in terms of diversity, formatting, and citation accuracy. Although most references are recent (2020-2023), more foundational works on optimism, resilience, and socio-economic status should be included. Additional citations from influential authors (e.g., Martin Seligman, Ann Masten, Urie Bronfenbrenner) will strengthen the theoretical framework. Finally, formatting inconsistencies and the use of "Anonymous, 2021" must be addressed to enhance the scientific integrity of the manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the manuscript is understandable, but it requires improvement to meet the standards of scholarly communication. Issues like grammar errors, redundancy, run-on sentences, informal language, and inconsistent terminology should be addressed. The overall tone should be more formal, objective, and evidence-based. By refining the language and structure, the manuscript will be more accessible to an academic audience and increase its chances of acceptance in reputable journals.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript addresses a highly relevant issue and provides valuable insights into how resilience, optimism, and socio-economic status affect parental coping strategies. However, the quality of writing, methodological rigor, and clarity of objectives require improvement. The PRISMA flowchart should be added, clearer definitions should be provided for key terms, and data from reviewed studies should be reported in detail. By addressing these weaknesses, the manuscript will be more suitable for scholarly communication, increase its credibility, and become a valuable resource for policymakers and healthcare professionals.</p> <p>At this stage, there do not appear to be any explicit competing interest issues in the manuscript. However, the absence of a formal conflict of interest declaration may raise questions regarding transparency. It is essential to explicitly state any potential conflicts or confirm that none exist to uphold the integrity of the research. Adding a simple "Conflicts of Interest" statement at the end of the manuscript will address this concern and strengthen the ethical rigor of the paper.</p> <p>The manuscript does not have any major ethical violations, but it does require improvements to ensure transparency, avoid potential plagiarism, and follow COPE and PRISMA guidelines. Addressing these issues will enhance the credibility, transparency, and ethical standing of the manuscript, increasing its chances of being accepted for publication</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Handry Darussalam</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Al Ma'arif College of Health Sciences, Indonesia</p>