

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_128138
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Cybercrime Modus Operandi: Computer Misuses</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides a detailed description of cybercrime modus operandi, a topic of increasing importance in cybersecurity. The systematic definition and discussion of cyberattack stages, techniques, and psychological and technical tricks offer valuable insights for law enforcement and cybersecurity professionals. Additionally, the emphasis on countermeasures and determinants of cyberattacks contributes to practical applications, improving the manuscript's relevance for addressing modern cyber threats.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The current title, "Cybercrime Modus Operandi: Computer Misuses", is partially suitable but could be refined for clarity and impact. A suggested alternative is "Understanding Cybercrime Modus Operandi: Techniques, Psychological Tricks, and Countermeasures" to better capture the manuscript's comprehensive scope.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is comprehensive but contains redundancies and minor grammatical errors. To improve clarity and focus, I suggest that the authors eliminate repetition of terms like "cybercrime modus operandi". It is also important that the authors specify key findings, such as the identified stages of cybercrime and the emphasis on psychological tricks over technical methods and clearly state the practical implications of the study in one concise sentence at the end.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically well-researched, offering a structured analysis of cybercrime methodologies using systematic literature review techniques. The definitions, stages, and recommendations align with current trends in cybersecurity literature. However, some sections lack references for critical claims, such as the emphasis on psychological tricks' effectiveness over technical methods. Including specific case studies or empirical data to validate these claims would strengthen the argument.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are adequate and recent, with a strong mix of foundational texts and contemporary studies. To enhance the manuscript, consider incorporating more empirical studies on psychological tricks in phishing attacks and their countermeasures like "Jakobsson, M., & Myers, S. (Eds.). (2007). <i>Phishing and countermeasures: understanding the increasing problem of electronic identity theft</i> . John Wiley & Sons." and "Wiley, J. (2008). <i>Security Engineering: A Guide to Building Dependable Distributed Systems. 2ed Editio</i> , 239-274."	

### Review Form 3

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The manuscript's language is adequate but could benefit from grammatical corrections and professional editing to improve scholarly communication. Issues include subject-verb agreement errors, awkward sentence constructions, and inconsistent use of technical terms. A thorough proofreading session is recommended.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is a commendable contribution to cybersecurity literature. However, to maximise its impact, it is recommended that the authors incorporate visual aids such as flowcharts or tables summarising the stages, techniques, and countermeasures. The authors should also provide examples of real-world applications of the findings to strengthen the practical relevance and discussion on how emerging technologies like AI influence the modus operandi of cybercriminals would add depth.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Oluwadamilola Adeola Oyadeyi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Ibadan, Nigeria</b>