

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_128854
Title of the Manuscript:	From Stagnation to Empowerment: The Catalyst Effect of Father Figures and Friends on the Protagonists in Shashi Deshpande's Novels.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into gender and social dynamics in Shashi Deshpande's novels, highlighting the transformative role of fathers and friends in empowering female protagonists. It contributes to feminist literary studies by exploring how personal relationships challenge patriarchal norms and promote self-worth. The study deepens our understanding of the intersection between literature and social change, emphasizing the importance of family and friendship in women's empowerment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "From Stagnation to Empowerment: The Catalyst Effect of Father Figures and Friends on the Protagonists in Shashi Deshpande's Novels" is suitable as it clearly reflects the core theme of the paper. However, if possible it could be made more concise and direct.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive as it highlights the key themes of the paper, including the role of fathers and friends in empowering female protagonists in Shashi Deshpande's novels. However, it could be made more concise by eliminating some repetitive phrases (self-worth and identity). Additionally, a brief mention of specific novels discussed could provide a clearer context.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript offers a well-structured analysis using feminist approach. It correctly identifies key themes such as patriarchy, gender discrimination, and the empowering roles of fathers and friends. The argument is supported with textual examples and references to relevant secondary sources, demonstrating a solid understanding of the social and psychological dynamics explored in Deshpande's work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is formal and suitable for academic writing. However, a polished revision could help eliminate a few grammar mistakes and impart clarity and conciseness.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the idea of the "catalyst effect" is refreshing. The paper is worth publishing.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chavan Sharad Uttam
Department, University & Country	S. P. Pune University, India