

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128321
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Promoting Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions: A Comprehensive Approach to Counterterrorism for Global Security</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>Introduction Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introduction effectively sets the stage for understanding the complexity of contemporary insurgencies. Nevertheless, it could enhance clarity by explicitly outlining the research questions guiding the study, such as 'What are the socio-economic, political, and cultural factors that contribute to the emergence and sustenance of insurgencies?' and 'How can governance interventions effectively mitigate insurgent activities?'</li> <li>2. Consider summarizing the literature's key findings to define better how this study fills existing gaps.</li> </ol>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title: Exploring the Dynamics of Insurgencies: Socio-Economic, Political, and Cultural Dimensions	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Abstract Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract is well-structured but could benefit from more concise language. Emphasize the unique contributions of this study to the existing literature on counterterrorism and governance interventions, as these are significant and add value to the field.</li> <li>2. While mentioning factor analysis and Interrupted Time Series (ITS) analysis is appropriate, it may be helpful to briefly explain why these methods were chosen and what specific insights they provide into effectively mitigating insurgent activities.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p>Literature Review Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The literature review is comprehensive, and the effort put into it is commendable. However, there are instances where the flow between different sections could be improved. Transitional phrases help guide the reader through the varying influences of insurgencies.</li> <li>2. The use of multiple sources is commendable; nonetheless, it is important to ensure that the most recent studies are integrated into the discussion of insurgency drivers.</li> </ol> <p>Methodology Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The methodology section is clear and provides a rigorous approach to data analysis. However, it could benefit from a more detailed discussion of the potential limitations of the chosen methods, such as factor analysis and Interrupted Time Series (ITS) analysis. This can lend greater transparency to the results and help the reader understand the scope and applicability of the study.</li> <li>2. It would be beneficial to elaborate on how the datasets were selected and any challenges encountered during the data collection.</li> </ol> <p>Results and Discussion Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The presentation of results is logical, and using tables and figures effectively conveys complex data. Nevertheless, additional commentary connecting the results to the literature could strengthen the argument.</li> <li>2. Some sections contain technical jargon that might confuse readers unfamiliar with statistical methods. Simplifying explanations of results, particularly in the ITS analysis, would make the findings more accessible.</li> </ol> <p>Conclusion and Recommendation Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The conclusion briefly summarizes the study's findings, yet it could be more impactful by explicitly stating the implications for policy and practice, particularly regarding counterterrorism strategies. A more comprehensive summary of the study's findings, including the key insights and their implications, would provide a clearer understanding of the study's significance.</li> <li>2. consider prioritizing the most critical actions to enhance clarity in the recommendations section. This could help stakeholders understand which initiatives are most urgent or have the highest expected impact.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>		

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>General Comments:</p> <p>In essence, this article makes a substantial contribution to the field by highlighting the interconnectedness of socio-economic, political, and cultural factors in insurgencies and counterterrorism. It is commendable that it analyzes the impacts of governance reforms and considers regional nuances.</p> <p>Ensure consistency in terminology and formatting throughout the article, as discrepancies can distract or confuse the reader.</p> <p>Minor grammatical errors were noted; thorough proofreading to correct these would improve the overall presentation of the work.</p> <p>Additional Suggestions:</p> <p>Consider expanding the discussion on the role of technology (e.g., big data, AI) in exacerbating and mitigating insurgency threats. This could provide a contemporary angle that engages with ongoing trends.</p> <p>It might be beneficial to include case studies or practical examples to ground the theoretical findings in real-world applications, a move that can resonate with practitioners in the fields of international relations and security studies. By addressing these comments, the article can become an even more valuable resource for understanding and addressing the complexities of insurgencies in a global context.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Mustapha Kulungu</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>ILM Foundation Institute of Los Angeles, United States</b></p>