

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128321
Title of the Manuscript:	Promoting Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions: A Comprehensive Approach to Counterterrorism for Global Security
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>1. This manuscript is a valuable contribution to the field of global security studies as it explores the interplay between socio-economic, political, and cultural factors influencing insurgencies.</p> <p>2. The study provides evidence-based insights into the effectiveness of governance and counterterrorism strategies by employing rigorous quantitative methods like factor analysis and interrupted time series analysis.</p> <p>3. Its findings support the development of comprehensive frameworks that integrate socio-economic development, governance reforms, and regional collaboration, making it a crucial resource for policymakers and researchers aiming to foster global peace and stability.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Promoting Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions: A Comprehensive Approach to Counterterrorism for Global Security," is suitable as it reflects the manuscript's emphasis on counterterrorism and governance within a global security context. However, a more concise alternative could be: "Counterterrorism and Global Security: Integrating Governance, Socio-Economic Development, and Regional Collaboration."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract is well-structured and informative, but it could benefit from including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Briefly mention the specific regions or case studies analyzed to provide context. A stronger emphasis on how the findings can influence policy or practical applications. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically accurate, employs robust methodologies, and presents findings clearly. The use of statistical tools like factor analysis and interrupted time series analysis is appropriate for the research objectives, and the discussion aligns well with the evidence provided.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are extensive and include both recent and relevant sources, demonstrating a comprehensive literature review. However, there are too many references at the end of the manuscript; more than 12 pages. Please reduce it.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is clear, professional, and suitable for scholarly communication. However, minor grammatical refinements and the removal of redundant phrases could enhance readability and precision.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript could benefit from greater conciseness in certain sections, especially in the background and references, to maintain reader engagement without compromising depth. Overall, it is a valuable contribution to the field, with the potential to inform both academic discourse and practical policy-making.</p> <p>The manuscript provides a comprehensive and well-structured exploration of the socio-economic, political, and cultural dimensions influencing insurgencies and counterterrorism strategies. The quantitative methodologies employed, including factor analysis and interrupted time series analysis, add scientific rigor and reliability to the findings. The recommendations, grounded in empirical evidence, offer practical insights for policymakers aiming to enhance global security.</p> <p>However, the document could benefit from greater conciseness in certain sections, especially in the background and references, to maintain reader engagement without compromising depth. The inclusion of region-specific examples and actionable strategies strengthens its relevance. A focus on enhancing the clarity of visual data representations, such as graphs and tables, would further aid comprehension. Overall, it is a valuable contribution to the field, with potential to inform both academic discourse and practical policy-making.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Ankita Sharma</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Punjabi University, India</p>