

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	AsianJournalofAdvancedResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJARR_129165
TitleoftheManuscript:	INFLUENCEOF SCHOOLINFRASTRUCTURALDEVELOPMENTONTEACHINGANDLEARNINGPROCESSTOWARDS ENHANCINGSTUDENTS' ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE IN OLORUNDA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF OSUN STATE
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses the significant issue of how school infrastructure impacts teaching and learning, with a focus on academic performance in secondary schools in Nigeria. It highlights an important dimension of educational development, especially in underserved regions, and has the potential to inform policy interventions. The findings provide valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders interested in bridging infrastructural gaps to enhance learning outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable and descriptive, accurately reflecting the content of the manuscript. However, consider simplifying it for better readability, e.g., "Impact of School Infrastructure on Teaching, Learning, and Academic Performance in Olorunda, Osun State."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and provides a clear summary of the research objectives, methodology, and findings. However: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highlight the practical implications briefly. Avoid repeating phrases like "towards enhancing students' academic performance" multiple times. Ensure the abstract remains concise while covering key points. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound but needs refinement in the following areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clarify how the results address the specific research questions. Provide stronger justification for using mean scores as the primary analytical method. Discuss the reliability test results more explicitly in the "Methods" section. 	
Are there references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> References are sufficient but ensure that all are recent and relevant. Include more studies from the last five years to strengthen the review. Cross-check citations in the text with the reference list for consistency. 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear but requires proofreading to correct grammatical errors and improve sentence structure. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revise overly long sentences for clarity. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The methodology section is detailed but could benefit from a flow diagram summarizing the research process. Include specific limitations of the study and how they were mitigated. 	

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan Ahmad Aldowah
Department, University & Country	School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia