

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_128593
Title of the Manuscript:	Anxiety in Higher Education: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is highly significant for the scientific community, particularly in the context of higher education and mental health. It highlights a critical and growing issue—anxiety among college students—and its far-reaching effects on academic performance, social interactions, and physical well-being. The insights provided by this review not only deepen our understanding of the prevalence and impact of anxiety but also emphasize actionable strategies for institutions to foster supportive and inclusive environments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides an overview of the article; however, it lacks alignment with the key findings of the study. A well-written abstract should succinctly highlight the research background, objectives, methodology, main findings, and significance. Currently, the abstract underemphasizes the findings, which are critical in demonstrating the study's contribution to the field.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references sufficient with the comprehensive review. However, the author advisable to include with more recent literature.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is adequate for scholarly communication, though there is room for improvement. The overall structure and flow of ideas are clear, and the key points are understandable.	
Optional/General comments	The overall comment stated in the original manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wan Nurlisa Wan Ahmad
Department, University & Country	Sultan Idris Education University, Malaysia