

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_128075
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Insecticide Compatibility with <i>Metarhizium (Nomuraea) rileyi</i> for Fall Armyworm ( <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> ) Management in Maize
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is very important. Fall army worm which is new pest of maize. This manuscript focuses to control the fall army worm with different insecticides and entomopathogenic fungi. work is appreciable.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, all the references are sufficient.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It should be 'fungi were cored' in place of 'fungi will cored' and 'which was poisoned' in place of 'which are poisoned'. Once check the spacing between the words. In material and methods place of research should be mentioned. Once check the reference format of the journal is the name of the journal is italic or not if yes than italic all the journal name.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Only minor corrections are required which are highlighted by red colour.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shradha Parmar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, India</b>