

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_127704
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of Solanum torvum fruit extracts on hospital strains of enterobacteria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is importance for the current field because the manuscript fully concentrate in medical field. This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it explores the antibacterial potential of Solanum torvum fruit extracts against hospital strains of enterobacteria, which are often associated with multidrug resistance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes , The Title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes , the manuscript is scientifically correct because the manuscript is connect to the hospital field,	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are recent but a few more references may also be include	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Add some more references <input type="checkbox"/> Consider addressing potential limitations in the study. For example, how stable are the bioactive compounds in the fruit extracts over time and under different storage conditions? Are there any concerns about potential toxicity, especially if the extract were to be used at higher doses? <input type="checkbox"/> Future studies should aim to identify the specific bioactive compounds responsible for the observed antibacterial effects and assess their safety and efficacy in vivo.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T.Kumaran
Department, University & Country	Muslim Arts College, Thiruvithancode(Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University,Abishekapatti), India