

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_127704
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of Solanum torvum fruit extracts on hospital strains of enterobacteria
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The findings are clinically important for curbing the menace of multidrug resistant enterobacteria. The outcome is positive and hence valuable. The plant used in the study may be given due importance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some parts may be deleted as suggested in attachment	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It seems to be scientifically correct as far as I can judge.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More references are needed. More recent references . Readily available on internet.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language should be improved as suggested.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rit A Som Paul</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of North Bengal (Siliguri College, Siliguri), India</b>