

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128971
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of blanching Treatments on the physico-chemical attributes of curry leaves dried using solar tunnel technology
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance was mentioned in the manuscript for the scientific community. The study highlights the significant influence of blanching and drying techniques on the physico-chemical and functional properties of curry leaves. The combination of steam blanching for 3 minutes followed by drying in a solar tunnel dryer proved to be the most effective treatment in preserving the nutritional content, functional properties, and quality of the leaves. These findings suggest that such processing methods can be optimized for the production of high-quality dried curry leaf powder for food and medicinal applications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is acceptable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add the objectives of the research. Delete the whole sentence stated "measured various measurement" The figures for decimal points should be consistent (2 decimal points)	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No objectives of the research in the introduction. The dependent variables should write in paragraphs. The figures should mention in the paragraphs throughout the manuscript. Table 1 and Table 2, revise the title In summary, delete primary properties assessed sentence, should be in materials and methods only	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No references at all in discussion and summary Please add more and latest references, the discussion and summary can be improved by critically written.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality can be improved.	
Optional/General comments	Check typo mistakes, full stop, % and others. Check scientific name in the methods and Summary and Conclusion is not the same! No short form (etc.)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Norriah Jaafar Sidik
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia