

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128971
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of blanching Treatments on the physico-chemical attributes of curry leaves dried using solar tunnel technology
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research makes a noteworthy contribution to food engineering and nutrition by highlighting how processing techniques can improve the quality of <i>Murraya koenigii</i> leaves. Despite some areas that could use further exploration and enhancements in presentation, it lays a strong groundwork for future studies aimed at optimizing processing methods for this important culinary ingredient.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I am not qualified to judge about English language.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>While the results are promising, there's room for additional research, particularly regarding the long-term storage effects on the nutritional quality of processed curry leaves. It's crucial to evaluate not just the immediate nutrient retention after processing but also how these nutrients hold up over time under varying environmental conditions. Furthermore, the study could benefit from a discussion on the economic implications and scalability of the blanching and drying techniques proposed, which would be valuable for industry stakeholders.</p> <p>Although the analysis covers a variety of nutrient profiles in detail, improving the presentation with clearer headings and sections could greatly enhance readability. This would help guide readers through the key findings more effectively and facilitate easier navigation of the study's various components.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivan Zlatanovic
Department, University & Country	University of Belgrade, Serbia