

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128458
Title of the Manuscript:	Feed resources and their development for raising buffaloes in arid regions of the country
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review article is very relevant and useful, especially to the buffalo-raising farmers of India. The relationship between raising buffaloes and other livestock species in arid regions of India is well analyzed. The status of feeds, fodder resources, and programs for fodder developments are well proposed. The review article is well-written and concise; however, some points need to be incorporated for its improvements to meet the standard.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Just writing "in the country" is not clear; instead, write "arid regions of India" for example: Feed resources and their development for raising buffaloes in arid regions of India.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs to be revised and updated, as it describes mainly the climatic zones rather than summarizing the gist of the research work	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct; however, the recent developments in the field of feeds and fodder production such as the conservation of fodder like hay and silage; total mixed ration (TMR), hydroponic fodder, use of Azolla, agroforestry development in arid regions and so on could have been mentioned to improve the quality of the review paper. Moreover, a review of the earlier research work and studies including the responsible organizations for developing buffaloes and feed resources would be useful to include in the article	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited in the paper are too old and obsolete like "The country's milk production in 2010 was estimated to be 110 million tones". Please use the recent data/information. For example: Milk production amounted to over 2300 million metric tons in fiscal year 2023 in India (refer https://www.statista.com/statistics/622826/milk-production-in-india/)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it's OK.	
Optional/General comments	The paper could be accepted but must be updated and revised as suggested above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rameshwar Singh Pande
Department, University & Country	Nepal