

### Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>                                 |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JSRR_128013   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Optimizing Soilless Media for Superior Microgreen Production and Sensory Acceptance</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Scientific</b>  |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Authors of the article presented interesting study concerning optimalization of soilless media for microgreen production and also sensory acceptance. The study has proofed that adding vermicompost to cocopeat gives a difference of microgreens grow but especially Red Amaranthus, Fenugreek and Spinach. Concerning sensory evaluation it shows favour profiles with Red Amaranthus and Spinach. |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)   | The title is suitable.  |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.      | The abstract is comprehensive.  |   |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.  | Yes. It is correct.   |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.                              | In Section References please add some recent publications concerning soilless microgreen production.  |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | English language quality is suitable for scholarly communication.   |   |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments   | Generally article gives modern approach for new development of agriculture.   |   |

#### **PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

#### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Jan Barwicki  |
| Department, University & Country | Institute of Technology and Life Sciences – National Institute in Falenty, Poland |