

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127991
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect Of Silicon Fertilizers On Management Of Brown Spot Disease Of Sugarcane Under Natural Field Conditions
Type of the Article	Field Research

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The research outcome has immense value for the scientific community as well as sugarcane farmers. It will help to reduce farmer's loss due to brown spot disease of sugarcane. The result of the study reflected in the manuscript is very encouraging for the management of the brown spot disease.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes, title is appropriate for the manuscript</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Abstract has reflected all the important points in comprehensive manner. It is very much understandable for the researchers</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Manuscript is scientifically correct as experimental trial conducted in the field as per proper methodology	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Reference are sufficient and these are properly highlighted in the running text as well as in the reference section	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Scientific language and English were correctly written in the manuscript and it is easily understandable for the different stakeholders	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<b>The manuscript is excellent and will help to improve journal value</b>	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ashish Kar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Energy and Resources Institute, India</b>