

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_128421
Title of the Manuscript:	The Promise of Nutraceuticals in Obesity Management: Understanding the Science Behind Weight Regulation
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This is an interesting area to improve the health challenges associated with obesity. This review tried to address in one paper the various benefits of Nutraceuticals as well as the issues associated with their toxicity and contamination.</p> <p>In general, the manuscript is well written. However, it could be improved considering the following points.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors can organize and arrange the tables better. 2. Some grammatical and typing errors are also present. 3. For example, on page 10, under-sleep apnea, ----- loss of weight. Since it is a new sentence, L should be capitalized. 4. More recent references would strengthen the manuscript. 5. The organization of the manuscript could be improved. In its actual form, it looks like a report rather than an article. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kibur Hunie Tesfa
Department, University & Country	University of Gondar, Ethiopia