

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128659
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ORGANIC SOURCES OF NUTRIENTS ON YIELD AND ECONOMICS OF BLACK GRAM.
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript on the "Effect of Organic Sources of Nutrients on Yield and Economics of Black Gram" is of paramount significance to the scientific community, particularly in the realm of sustainable agriculture. As the world grapples with the challenges of environmental degradation, climate change, and food security, the findings of this study offer valuable insights into the potential of organic nutrient sources to enhance crop yields and promote eco-friendly farming practices. The research has important implications for agricultural policy-makers, practitioners, and researchers seeking to develop and implement sustainable and economically viable farming systems.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, The manuscript appears to employ a well-structured experimental design, with a clear description of the research objectives, materials, and methods. The use of [insert specific methods, e.g., randomized complete block design, ANOVA] is appropriate for the research	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Add keywords	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nishigandha Ranasingh
Department, University & Country	Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, India