

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128586
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determinants of Efficient Needs Delivery to Farmers of Odisha: A Multi-Approach Constraint Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	His study proves to be of capital importance for farmers. Indeed, it deals with issues related to the constraints faced by farmers with the aim of improving their yield.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author forgot in the abstract to specify the data used in this study. This is very important in a abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically, the paper is not bad, although there are certain aspects that need to be reviewed to improve the quality of the work	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>There are some errors in the document. For example, for authors quotes, sometimes the author uses "and" and sometimes he uses "&amp;". It would be better to harmonize throughout the text.</p> <p>Towards the end of the introduction, the author cited Ajani &amp; Onwubuya and put "13", I believe this is an error that needs to be corrected. In the introduction, the author did not show the theory that supports his work. What motivates the choice of the study area? The introduction must end with the announcement of the plan for the continuation</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Fawaz A. Adéchinan Aminou
Department, University & Country	University of Labé, Guinea