

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128586
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Efficient Needs Delivery to Farmers of Odisha: A Multi-Approach Constraint Analysis
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses a critical issue in agricultural development with a multi-faceted and evidence-based approach. It has the potential to influence policy, improve the livelihoods of farmers, and contribute to the sustainable development of agriculture in Odisha and beyond. Furthermore, the manuscript could have wider implications for research methodologies, cross-disciplinary learning, and international development strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes but it can also be Extents of Efficient Needs Delivery to Farmers of Odisha: A Multi-Approach Constraint Analysis	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but major results has to be presented with their corresponding mean value, the method of selecting the respondents and collecting the data has to be stated. Recommendation and conclusion is also necessary.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it follows the scientific way of carrying out research. But, more literatures has to be reviewed and the statement of the research problem needs to be very clear.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, if more literatures are review, the references will be very ok.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alabi Salihu Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria