

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_128148
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Priming on the Phenology, Growth, and Yield of Bambara Groundnut (<i>Vigna subterranea</i> (L.) Verdcourt)
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study states that importance of priming to enhance the germination and as it is novel study in the Barbara groundnut crop. This technique increases the yield of the crop which is the main motive and The manuscript is technically well written	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is not clear and mention the results of 24 hours priming time as it resulted in higher pod yields	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the research article is robust in scientific terminology.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the discussion part is well written	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the article is written in sound manner	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Abstract needs to be modified Add conflicts of interest with the authors	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M V Priya
Department, University & Country	ANGRAU, India