

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_127435
Title of the Manuscript:	Avocado Peel Polyphenolic-Rich Extract Attenuates Low-Grade Inflammation in Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Male Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I would like to thank the journal for recommending me as a peer reviewer of the manuscript. My aim is to provide constructive direction that aids with improving the manuscript and potentially helping to progress the manuscript eventually to publication. This manuscript was comprehensively explains the composition and functions of avocado peel	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title was "Avocado Peel Polyphenolic-Rich Extract Attenuates Low-Grade Inflammation in Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Male Wistar Rats" But it didn't explain why it was called by "Low-Grade Inflammation", is there any definition about high-grade/medium grade of inflammation? it is better "Avocado Peel Polyphenolic-Rich Extract Attenuates Inflammation in Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Male Wistar Rats" by removing the world low-grade.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need to to be corrected. In Result section: - Please use abbreviation in the world glycated hemoglobin, interleukins (1 and 6), and tumor necrosis factor- α . With bracket in each world. For example interleukin 1 (IL-1) , interleukin 6 (IL-6), etc - for APPE200 was inconsistent, if you want to use subscript, use to all the world in this manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It was a pleasure reviewing this manuscript and it was scientifically robust but need to correct and adding some description - Please be more careful to write the abbreviations, if it was already explain in the initial part, don't write again the term with bracket, just write the abbreviations - Please be consistent with the subscript world - Explain more how to define interface hepatitis and glomerulosclerosis. Which cell in HE imaging that need to concern about that diagnosed - The animal was provided the food ad libitum, but it didn't mention what kind of food that provide. Please explain - In the material and method explain that The animals were housed in standard rat cages with five animals per cage, but for 1 group need 7 animals each. So how you divided them?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it suitable	
Optional/General comments	Please correct the minor revision PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Herlin Ajeng Nurrahma
Department, University & Country	Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Indonesia