

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128806
Title of the Manuscript:	Degradation pattern and residues of atrazine in maize under long term conservation agriculture
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It reveals; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the persistence of atrazine, a soil active herbicide, in the soil. 2. the degradation of the herbicide under different tillage method. 3. the bioaccumulation of the herbicide in the maize grains and straw, which may bother on the health of consumers and the livestock. 4. the effects of conservation tillage practices on the above. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. The addition suggested is provision of information on the country and coordinates/elevation of the study site.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and makes substantive contributions to knowledge in conservation agriculture.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are current and sufficient. Only two are quite old. Few that need reconciliation are marked on the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is presented in simple English language. The language indeed makes effective scholarly communication. However, some areas that need to be recast are marked on the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT The manuscript is publishable and is hereby recommended for publication, subject to correction of few areas that need attention of the author(s).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rasheed Olufemi Awodoyin
Department, University & Country	University of Ibadan, Nigeria