

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128781
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate-Resilient Rice Cultivation in India: Overcoming Challenges for Sustainable Food Security
Type of the Article	Review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Rice is a important food crop in India as well as in world, but it required 5000 lit water to produce 1kg rice so there are number of cchallenges for Sustainable Food Security of rice cultivation. Climate-resilient agriculture (CRA) practices, such as direct-seeded rice (DSR), drought-tolerant varieties, and flood-resistant strains critical component of India's agricultural strategy, addressing the nation's growing food demand and ensuring sustainable food security. Thus a comprehensive study help the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES, WELL WRITTEN	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF REFRENCECE PROVIDE	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	GOOD QUALITY OF ENGLISH.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harsh Gupta
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi Gramodaya Chitrakoot Vishwavidyalaya, India