

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128585
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling of refined isolation and inoculation assay for <i>Ephelis japonica</i> inciting Udbatta disease in paddy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article addressed an important aspect i.e., isolation of <i>Ephelis oryzae</i> , the causative agent of black choke or Udbatta disease in rice which is critical due to several contaminants and other challenges faced during the isolation and culturing process. The researcher studied and compared several important techniques and isolation condition of the fungus in details and provided detailed pictorial representations. Overall, the study is well planned and executed and written in depth.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The manuscript is written in details providing sound scientific knowledge about the topic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references need to be replaced with recent studies as they provide information from articles written a long time back. These references are marked in red and these need to be replaced with similar studies.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript can be considered for publication after the minor revisions. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debasmita Chatterjee
Department, University & Country	Heritage Institute of Technology, India