

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128477
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant activity from the leaves of different citrus (Citrus species) under sub-tropical conditions of Punjab
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important especially in the search for natural remedies for various diseases that results from oxidative stress. This research gave insight on the antioxidant capacities of different species of and citrus leaves and there abilities to mop up free radicals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is quite suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract of the article is comprehensive and adequate.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The Manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The References are sufficient though few are recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Language of the article is suitable except few grammatical errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>In your references follow the reference guidelines , if authors are more than six, list the first six and use <i>et.al</i> to represent the remaining</p> <p>You should be consistent in the use of decimal points. Your result were expressed in three digits, four digits and two digits. Be consistent in the number of digits you want to express your result.</p> <p>There are no ethical issues in this manuscript There are no competing interest issues in this manuscript Plagiarism is not suspected in this manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jonathan Chinenye Ifemeje
Department, University & Country	Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Nigeria