

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128477
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant activity from the leaves of different citrus (Citrus species) under sub-tropical conditions of Punjab
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This investigation is very interesting and correct. In fact, the chosen citrus leaves extracts, by containing antioxidant value, may be a very promising innovation in food ingredients industries. This study can be very useful for further flavour compounds research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think it's very suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and correct.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References section must be improved, and I suggest the addition of more recent references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall recommendation : Minor revisions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hikmat Douhri
Department, University & Country	Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco