

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JABB _128404
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth response of guava (Psidium guajava L.) varieties in containers for urban horticulture
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Urban horticulture is not just a trend but a necessity for sustainable urban development. By cultivating cities and nourishing communities, we can create a more resilient and equitable future	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Can be modified as "Growth Response of Guava Varieties in Containerized Systems for Urban Horticulture".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Repeated sentences from the materials and methods. Abstract should be restricted to importance of the work, findings of the research and conclusion of the study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientific work has been done in this study	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient references are included in the manuscript	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs improvement	
Optional/General comments	Futuristic work, Need of the hour, Requires to study the production part of this study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akash Sharma
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, India