

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_128114
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL AND LABORATORY PROFILE OF AL AMYLOIDOSIS AND TREATMENT OUTCOMES: EXPERIENCE FROM A TERTIARY CARE INSTITUTE IN NORTH INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the clinical presentation, diagnosis, treatment, and outcomes of primary AL amyloidosis in an Indian cohort, contributing to the limited real-world evidence available in this region. By focusing on the prevalence, organ involvement, and outcome patterns, it emphasizes the critical role of early diagnosis and individualized treatment strategies, particularly for patients with advanced cardiac or renal involvement, though limited by the absence of ASCT arm. The study highlights the challenges of under-diagnosis and late presentation in India, stressing the need for improved awareness and timely interventions. The findings also contribute to the global understanding of AL amyloidosis, offering guidance for clinical decision-making and the optimization of therapeutic approaches in diverse patient populations.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article is accurate and appropriately reflects the content.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive, clearly summarizing the study's aims and objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions, and I do not suggest the addition or deletion of any points in this section.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, as it is based on a rigorous retrospective analysis and follows established diagnostic and treatment guidelines for AL amyloidosis.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent, providing a solid foundation for the study; no additional references are necessary at this time.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication, being clear, precise, and professionally presented.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I would suggest going through the manuscript again, and correcting a few spellings.</li> <li>While the title of the article is accurate and appropriately reflects the content, a more catchy or engaging title could enhance its appeal and attract a broader audience.</li> <li>In Table 3, all patients have renal involvement, so it is better to write 'Renal involvement only'.</li> <li>The duration or number of cycles of CyBORD treatment could be mentioned.</li> </ul> <p><b>Besides the first point which I strongly recommend, rest three are suggestions which may enhance its appeal.</b></p>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Mani Tiwari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Krishna Mohan Medical College, India</b>