

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_128114
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL AND LABORATORY PROFILE OF AL AMYLOIDOSIS AND TREATMENT OUTCOMES: EXPERIENCE FROM A TERTIARY CARE INSTITUTE IN NORTH INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the clinical and laboratory characteristics of AL amyloidosis, an uncommon and often underdiagnosed condition, based on data from a tertiary care institute in North India. It contributes to the global understanding of the disease by highlighting region-specific presentation patterns, diagnostic challenges, and treatment outcomes. The findings may serve as a crucial reference for clinicians, aiding in the early recognition and management of AL amyloidosis. Moreover, the study's results on treatment responses will help inform future therapeutic strategies and improve patient outcomes in diverse healthcare settings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here	YES	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Article requires grammar corrections like commas(,) and full stop (.) at places necessary. Spellings have to be corrected like urinalysis and had instead of hadd and other spellings also.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tejeshwini Patil
Department, University & Country	Raichur institute of medical sciences, India