

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128511
Title of the Manuscript:	LANDS EVALUATION IN HYDROMORPHIC ENVIRONMENT : CASE OF DEVELOPED RICE LOWLAND SOILS IN THE PROVINCE OF ZIRO, BURKINA FASO.
Type of the Article	Scientific article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is of considerable significance, as few studies have assessed the suitability of the land for agricultural use, particularly in the context of rice cultivation. The findings offer valuable insights that can serve as a foundation for the improvement of soil quality and the optimization of agricultural practices. Furthermore, this study provides a crucial foundation for the development of sustainable land management strategies aimed at enhancing rice production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, but I have some reservations about the keywords, which I have addressed in the revisions	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references, in general, are not very recent, but I believe they are acceptable given the topic, which is rarely addressed. It is logical to rely on the available references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall, the language is good, but it requires correction by a professional	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The study is generally sound, although certain enhancements are required</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p> <p>There is no suspicion of plagiarism Any competing interest No ethical issues</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Degui Nouara
Department, University & Country	Blida 1 University, Algeria