

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128092
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximates and Mineral Composition Profiling in Cowpea ((Vigna unduiculata LWalp.)
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses micronutrient malnutrition, a critical issue in developing countries. Micro nutrients deficiencies can lead to severe health consequences, particularly among venerable people such as children and pregnant women. The study's findings on cowpea's nutritional profile provide valuable insight into its potential as a dietary staple that can enhance nutritional intake. By highlighting the rich micro nutrients of cowpea, this research can inform public health strategies aimed at combating malnutrition and improving overall health outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable as it clearly reflects the study's focus on proximate and mineral composition profiling in cowpea.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from a clearer statement of the study's objectives and key findings. Consider adding a sentence summarizing the main results and their implications.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate. However, ensure that all statistical methods used are clearly described and that the results are presented with appropriate statistical significance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. No additional references are necessary at this time.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical corrections and improvements in sentence structure are recommended for better clarity.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephen Oluwaseun Popoola
Department, University & Country	Nigeria