

## Original Research Article

# Role of Boron, Potassium Sources and Rates on Soil Fertility, Sugar Beet Yield and Quality in Saline Clay Soil.

Commented [h1]: Specified location /country

### ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted at a private farm in El-Qantara Shark, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt, during the 2021/22 and 2022/23 winter growing seasons. The farm is located at coordinates 30° 51' 59.6628"N and 32° 21' 4.7916"E. The study aimed to assess the role of boron and potassium sources and rates on sugar beet yield quality and soil fertility. The experiments were set up in a split-split plot design with three replications. The main plots consisted of foliar boron (B) application (without and with 4 g B/l), while the sub-main plots were assigned to three potassium sources: K-sulfate, K-silicate, and K-humate. The sub-plots were divided into four potassium rates (0, 4, 8, and 12 g/L) applied as foliar spray at three intervals: 30, 45, and 75 days after planting. Results indicated that foliar boron application led to a 17.17% decrease in soil electrical conductivity (EC) compared to without boron spraying. However, there was no significant change in pH values. The study showed a significant increase in soil available N, P, K, Fe, Mn, Zn, and B with the application of 4 g/l of boron or K-humate at a rate of 12 g/l individually. Sugar beet yield, yield quality, and root nutrient content were notably influenced by potassium sources in the following order: K-humate > K-silicate > K-sulfate. The interaction between boron spray and potassium sources and rates had a significant effect on various parameters, with the highest values for root length (cm), fresh root weight (kg/plant), root and top yield (t/ha), protein content (%), proline concentration (mg/g.f.w.), sugar content (%), and sugar yield (t/ha) achieved through foliar application of boron combined with potassium humate at a rate of 12 g/l.

Commented [h2]: Reduce it to 250 word

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) has emerged as a significant crop for sugar production. In the 2018 season, the total cultivated area reached approximately 521,427 hectares, with a total production exceeding 11.223 million tons of roots, averaging 21.523 hectares per year (FAO, 2020). As one of the crops most tolerant of salinity and a wide range of climates, sugar beet could be economically grown in recently reclaimed soils, such as in the northern parts of Egypt. The total quantity of sugar produced is insufficient to meet our needs. Therefore, one of the key national goals is to reduce the difference between sugar production and consumption by increasing the area under cultivation and the amount of sugar produced per unit area. Enhancing sugar beet yield can be accomplished by using the right source and rate of potassium for foliar application. Additionally, the ideal rate of potassium fertilizer for soil application can improve the production of sugar beets (Hamada, 2019).

Commented [h3]: Add it on title

Commented [h4]: It beter if started from world wide to specific

Potassium (K) is a primary macronutrient that plays a crucial role in various plant functions such as protein synthesis, photosynthesis, osmoregulation, stomatal movement, energy transfer, phloem transport, cation-anion balance, and stress resistance (Wang et al., 2013). Additionally, potassium is essential for the translocation of sugars from leaves to storage roots in plants. Increasing potassium levels up to 24 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fad has been shown to enhance plant growth, chlorophyll levels, and overall performance in sugar beet leaves during different seasons (El-Kalawy, 2021). Potassium silicate is a highly soluble source of potassium and silicon. Although silicon is beneficial for plants, it is not considered essential. It is important to reduce the plant's vulnerability to biotic and abiotic environmental stresses (Sacała, 2009). Seadh et al. (2024) found that the highest values of leaf chemical constituents (NPK, %), chlorophyll, plant height, and top fresh weight were obtained with a combined treatment of borax (0.25 cm<sup>3</sup>l<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium silicate (1.25 cm<sup>3</sup>l<sup>-1</sup>). Potassium humate (K-humate) is widely produced as a source of potassium that contains many elements necessary for plant development (Okba et al., 2021). Foliar application of humic substances is becoming more popular in agricultural practice. The mechanism of the possible growth-promoting effect is usually attributed to a hormone-like impact, activation of photosynthesis, acceleration of cell division, increased permeability of plant cell membranes, improved nutrient uptake, reduced toxic element uptake, and improved plant response to salinity (Verlinden et al., 2009). In this context, Abd El-Haleim (2020) found that potassium humate at a rate of 8g/L resulted in the highest significant values for top length, leaves, and root length, with percentages of 15%, 34%, 11%, 21%, 30%, 36%, and 3%, while potassium silicate treatment at 8g/L recorded the second-highest values for top length and leaves.

Boron (B) is essential for plant growth. It is important for the synthesis of cell walls, cell division, cell development, hormone development, metabolism of auxin and indole acetic acid (IAA), synthesis of proteins and amino acids, regulation of carbohydrate metabolism, sugar transport, RNA metabolism, and respiration. Additionally, boron is possibly the most important micronutrient for achieving high-quality crop yields. Boron availability in soil was influenced by dynamic soil properties like soil pH, organic matter, texture, cultivation, drought, and microbial activity. The availability of boron decreases as pH increases, and plants typically cannot use most of the boron in the soil. When calcium (Ca) is available, plants require more B for growth and yield. Hence, foliar fertilization is a highly effective method for supplying boron to plants, leading to increased crop yield and plant health (Kuntoji et al., 2019). Spraying sugar beet plants with boron at a rate of 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> resulted in the highest values for foliage and root fresh and dry weights, root length, root diameter, yield components, and quality characters such as N, P, K, Na, B, α-amino N, impurity, TSS, sucrose, juice purity, and extractable white sugar (Ibrahim et al., 2021). Increasing boron fertilization levels from 0 to 80, 160, and 240 ppm/fed led to a gradual and significant improvement in sugar beet growth traits, including chlorophyll a and b content, root length, and diameter in both growing seasons (Elmasry and Al-Maracy, 2023). Moreover, Mohammed and Nasef (2024) showed that 4g/L of borax was a more effective concentration for enhancing table beet yield and vegetative growth.

The objective of this was to examine the impact of boron and different sources and rates of potassium on soil fertility, growth, yield, and quality traits of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) in saline clay soil conditions.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental location and treatments

Commented [h5]: Add map of study area

A field experiment was conducted over two successful winter seasons (2021/2022 and 2022/2023) at a private farm in El-Qantara Shark, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt. The farm is located at coordinates 30° 51' 59.6628"N and 32° 21' 4.7916"E. The study aimed to evaluate the effects of boron, potassium sources, rates, and their interaction on soil chemical properties, as well as sugar beet productivity and quality in saline soil conditions. The soil's main physical and chemical properties, as shown in Table 1, were determined before planting using methods outlined by Page et al. (1982), Cottenie et al. (1982), and Kult (1986).

**Table (1): Physical and chemical properties in soil study before planting.**

Coarse sand (%)	Fine sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Texture	OM (%)	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (%)		
4.66	23.80	12.75	41.21	Clay	0.62	12.88		
pH (1:2.5)	EC (dSm-1)	Cations (meq l <sup>-1</sup> )			Anions (meq l <sup>-1</sup> )			
		Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	HCO <sup>-3</sup>	Cl <sup>-</sup>	SO <sup>-4</sup>
8.15	8.22	10.60	23.74	51.98	0.88	9.22	44.50	28.48
Macronutrients (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )				Micronutrients (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )				
N	P	K	B	Fe	Mn	Zn		
30.55	4.95	173.80	0.08	2.88	1.12	0.52		

Each experiment was conducted using a split-split plot design with three replicates. The main plots were assigned for foliar application of boron (with and without), while the potassium sources (potassium sulfate, silicate, and humate) were allocated to the subplots, and the potassium rates (0, 4, 8, and 12 g/L) were arranged in the sub-sub plots. Each plot had an area of 5 x 10 m, divided into rows of 60 cm. The sugar beet cultivar used was Mirador. All agricultural practices were completed before planting. Super phosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) was applied at a rate of 200 kg/ha before planting. Urea (46% N) was applied at a rate of 100 kg/ha three times after 30, 45, and 75 days from planting. Potassium sulfate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O), potassium silicate (12% K), and potassium humate were sprayed at rates of 0, 4, 8, and 12 g/l water were sprayed to coat the leaf surface and drenched the soil around the plants after 30, 45, and 75 days from planting. Boric acid (H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> 17% B) was sprayed at a rate of 4 g/l (400 g/400L water /ha) in two equal doses after 30 and 75 days from planting.

Sugar beet was sown on October 20<sup>th</sup> for the 2021 and 2022 seasons. Three seeds were planted in each hill, and after 30 days, they were thinned to one plant per hill. After 150 days, the harvest occurred. Data was recorded by selecting 10 plants at random from each treatment in three replications to determine the following growth traits: Root length (cm), Root diameter (cm), fresh weight of root (kg/plant), weight of root yield (t/ha) and weight of top yield (t/ha).

## 2.2 Soil chemical analysis

Soil pH was performed by using a 1: 2.5 soil-water solution (Jackson 1973). The soil electrical conductivity (EC) was measured in E<sub>Ce</sub> (dSm<sup>-1</sup>) using the EC meter (Klute 1986). The available soil nitrogen was estimated using micro-kjeldahl procedure after nitrogen extraction using 2M potassium chloride (Burt, 2004). The spectrophotometer set at a wavelength of 550 nm was employed to measure the available soil phosphorus after extraction using 0.5 M sodium bicarbonate solution at pH 8.5 (Olsen, 1954). The extractable soil potassium was measured using flame photometry after extraction using ammonium acetate procedure at pH 7.0 (Jackson, 1973). Available Fe, Zn, Mn, and Cu were extracted by NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> DTPA and determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer, Perkin-Elmer 372 (Soltanpour and Schwab, 1977).

## 2.2 plant analysis

A 0.5 g of each oven dried ground plant sample was digested using H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub> mixture according to the method described by Chapman and Pratt (1961). The plant content of N, P,

K, Fe and Mn were determined in plant digestion using the methods described by Cottenie et al (1982) and Page et al (1982). The protein percentage of the root was determined by multiplying the nitrogen percentage by a factor of 6.25, as described by Hymowitz et al. (1972). Sucrose (%) was estimated in fresh sugar beet root according to the method described in (A. O. A. C. 2005). Sugar yield (t/ha) was calculated by multiplied sucrose % × root yield (t/ha). Photosynthetic pigments (total chlorophyll were estimated in fresh leaves as described by Witham et al (1971). Proline content was estimated according to the methods described by Bates et al (1973).

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1 Soil chemical properties**

##### **3.1.1 Soil PH**

The results in Table 2 indicate that pH values were not affected by boron treatments. Additionally, there were no significant differences observed among the boron spray with potassium sources; however, potassium rates had a significant impact on this parameter. The highest mean values were observed with potassium humate at potassium levels up to 12 g/l of K<sub>2</sub>O. Shaaban *et al* (2011) found that the soil pH of soil increased slightly after removing sugar beet but decreased to 7.0 as affected with potassium sources. The positive effect of potassium sources on reducing soil pH values may be referred to the organic acid and applied microorganisms may be accelerated the decomposition process. Zakaria (2012) indicated that the soil pH values were decreased with increasing the rate of potassium fertilizer. Mohamed (2012) suggested that the values of soil pH were decreased with the increase of added rate of K fertilizer as potassium sulphate.

##### **3.1.2 Soil salinity (EC dSm<sup>-1</sup>)**

The data in Table 2 shows the impact of boron, potassium sources, and rates on available nitrogen in soil at harvest. The addition of boron resulted in a 17.17% decrease in soil electrical conductivity (EC) compared to the untreated plots. Among the potassium sources, K-humate had the lowest EC at harvest (3.49 dSm<sup>-1</sup>). Increasing potassium rates generally led to higher EC values, with the application of 12 g/l potassium showing a 52.42% decrease in EC compared to the control. Tarek et al. (2008) indicated that the soil EC was significantly reduced from 60 dSm<sup>-1</sup> to 17 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, for the leached sugar beet. In the harvested above ground biomass sugar beet removed Na<sup>+</sup> 156 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> from top soil (0-10 cm depth). The decreases in soil EC could be attributed to the application of potassium humate led to improving soil aggregation, water movement and leaching the excessive soluble salts (Shaban et al., 2014).

##### **3.1.3 Macronutrients availability (mgkg<sup>-1</sup>)**

The application of boron had a significant impact on the availability of soil macronutrients, as shown in Table 2. The addition of boron resulted in an increase of 11.87%, 14.94%, and 7.49% in available NPK, respectively, compared to the control without boron. Soil N and K availability also increased significantly with the application of potassium sources. Statistical analysis indicated that the addition of potassium humate led to the highest increase in available NPK. These results are consistent with those obtained by El-Sheref et al. (2024), who mention that potassium humate is an effective biostimulant that can reduce soil pH, electrical conductivity (EC), exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP), and

Table (2). Chemical properties of saline clay soil as affected by boron, potassium sources, and rates (combined analysis of two seasons)

Treatments	Soil pH (1:2.5)	Soil EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	Available macronutrients (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )			Available micronutrients (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )			
			N	P	K	B	Fe	Mn	Zn
<b>A. Boron (4g/l)</b>									
without	7.97	4.31	42.96	6.09	183.83	0.21	4.2	2.02	0.76
with	7.97	3.57	48.06	7.00	197.6	0.27	4.14	2.5	0.76
LS.D at (0.05)	n.s	0.03	0.529	0.429	0.690	0.018	n.s	0.005	n.s
<b>B. Potassium source</b>									
K- sulphate	7.98	4.56	42.15	6.57	191.02	0.22	4.24	2.2	0.76
K- silicate	7.95	3.78	43.98	6.26	187.01	0.24	3.88	2.1	0.71
K- humate	7.99	3.49	50.41	6.8	194.13	0.26	4.39	2.46	0.81
LS.D at (0.05)	n.s	0.01	0.910	0.153	0.073	0.013	0.101	0.008	0.013
<b>C. Potassium rates (K<sub>2</sub>O g/l)</b>									
0	7.91	5.57	38.72	6.18	184.49	0.16	3.71	1.89	0.66
4	7.95	4.47	44.76	6.47	188.39	0.23	4.03	2.18	0.73
8	7.99	3.08	48.58	6.68	193.05	0.27	4.31	2.41	0.79
12	8.04	2.65	49.99	6.84	196.93	0.3	4.63	2.55	0.85
LS.D at (0.05)	0.058	0.02	0.672	0.121	0.485	0.015	0.078	0.011	0.013
<b>Interaction effects</b>									
A xB	n.s	***	**	n.s	**	**	*	***	n.s
A xC	n.s	***	***	n.s	***	n.s	***	***	n.s
B xC	n.s	***	***	*	***	n.s	***	***	***
A xBxC	n.s	***	***	n.s	***	n.s	n.s	***	n.s

Commented [h6]: Recheck result

Commented [h7]: Nutrent analysis was not need comparetion put it by range

Commented [h8R7]:

bulk density, while simultaneously increasing soil organic matter content and fertility. This positive impact on soil properties is attributed to the presence of decomposed anionic acids and organic complexes in potassium humate, such as carboxyl ( $\text{COOH}^{-1}$ ) and phenol ( $\text{OH}^{-1}$ ) groups, which have a beneficial effect on soil properties (Schnitzer, 1992). Increasing potassium levels up to 12 g/l  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  resulted in a more pronounced increase in available NPK values by 29.11%, 10.68%, and 6.74%, respectively compared to no  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  addition on average over two growing seasons.

Table 2 demonstrated that the interaction of boron and potassium sources and rates significantly affected macronutrient availability except for available P. Specifically; the combination of 4 g/l boron with potassium humate at a rate of 12 g/l  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  had a notable effect on soil available nitrogen and potassium. Similarly, Habib et al. (2020) found that addition potassium fertilizer and boron increased soil N, P, K and B levels. This increase in nutrients after harvesting may be attributed to the enhancement of root hair nodules in broad bean plants and post-harvest compost analysis. However, this rise did not lead to adequate levels of N, P, K and B in the soil after harvesting.

### 3.1.3 Micronutrients availability ( $\text{mgkg}^{-1}$ )

The results in Table 2 show that boron only affected the availability of soil B and Mn. Boron at a rate of 4 g/L increased soil available B and Mn by 28.57% and 23.76%, respectively, compared to no boron addition. Regarding the influence of potassium sources on micronutrient soil availability, data in Table 2 indicate that the availability of B, Fe, Mn, and Zn was affected by potassium sources in the following order: K-humate > K-silicate > K-sulfate. Additionally, the availability of micronutrients increased gradually with higher rates of applied potassium. The maximum values of B, Fe, Mn, and Zn availability were 0.26, 4.39, 2.46, and 0.81  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ , respectively, when potassium was applied at a rate of 12 g/L over two growing seasons.

The interaction effects of A x B and B x C were found to have significant effects on available B, Fe, and Mn. Specifically, the interaction between A x C (boron with potassium at a rate of 12 g/L) resulted in the highest values of available Fe and Mn. In the case of the three-way interaction (A x B x C), available Mn was significantly affected by the combined effects of boron, potassium sources, and rates (Table 2).

### 3.2 Concentration of macronutrients (N, P, and K) in sugar beet roots

Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium concentration of sugar beet roots was highly significant increased due to the application of different treatments (Table 3). Data showed that N, P and K concentration had positive effect due to applying boron at a rate of 4 g/L compared to without boron addition. These results are in harmony with those undertaken by Mohammed and Nasef (2024) who concluded that the roots of plants sprayed with a 4 g/L dose showed the highest content of N, P, and K. While the B content in the roots were increased gradually as the amount of borax applied during the foliar period increased from 0 to 6 g/L both seasons. The results represented in Table 3 denoted the influence of potassium sources on N, P, and K of roots, the results showed that highly significant increment of N, P, and K concentration were achieved by applying K-humate at a rate of 12 g/L.

In terms of interaction (Table 3), the greatest increase in N concentrations was observed with the combination treatment of boron and potassium humate. Statistical analysis revealed that the combination of boron and potassium rates resulted in a significant increase in N, P, and K content in sugar beet roots. The most significant increases in N and P concentrations were achieved in roots treated with potassium humate at a rate of 12 g/L or with a combination of boron and potassium humate at the same rate.

### 3.3 Concentration of micronutrients (B, Fe, Mn and Zn) in sugar beet roots

Commented [h9]: Add Reference

Commented [h10]: Reference

Table 3 demonstrated that a notable increase in micronutrient concentrations (B, Fe, Mn, and Zn) in sugar beet roots when different boron and potassium sources were added at varying rates. The study found that applying boron at a rate of 4 g/L resulted in a significant increase in the concentration of B, Fe, Mn, and Zn in the roots compared to treatments without Boron. Similar results were found by Abbas et al. (2011) who observed that the application of boron to cotton increases the availability and uptake of various plant nutrients in the soil, thereby enhancing the uptake and transfer of phosphorus, nitrogen, potassium, zinc, iron, and copper in leaves, shoots, and seeds. Additionally, the study observed a trend of increasing B, Fe, Mn, and Zn content in roots with higher application rates of potassium treatments (12 g/L). The recent findings support previous research by Abd Elghany et al. (2019) indicating that soil watered every ten days and treated with potassium humate at a concentration of 6 g/L exhibited the highest levels of micronutrients. The increased availability of micronutrients can be attributed to organic compounds acting as chelating and ion exchange agents. These compounds aid in retaining micronutrients in the soil, thereby preventing leaching through irrigation water.

The interaction between boron and potassium sources had a significant effect only on the concentrations of B and Fe in sugar beet roots (Table 3). The highest values of B, Fe, Mn, and Zn in roots were observed when sugar beets treated with foliar spraying of boron along with K-humate, or K-humate at a rate of 12 g/L. A significant increase in B and Mn content only was achieved with the application of boron at a rate of 4 g/L in combination with K-humate at a rate of 12 g/L.

Commented [h11]: Check it

**Table (3). Nutrients concentration in sugar beet roots grown in saline soil as affected by boron, potassium sources, and rates (combined analysis of two seasons)**

Treatments	Concentrations of macronutrients (%)				Concentrations of micronutrients (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )		
	N	P	K	B	Fe	Mn	Zn
<b>A. Boron (4 g/l)</b>							
without	1.85	0.67	3.05	29.86	136.14	60.38	29.73
with	1.66	0.46	3.43	44.86	117.48	51.64	39.24
LS.D at (0.05)	0.005	0.032	0.202	1.10	7.03	1.84	0.468
<b>B. Potassium source</b>							
Potassium sulphate	1.62	0.64	3.36	33.63	135.33	59.78	31.23
Potassium silicate	1.77	0.49	3.10	37.54	119.47	52.21	34.35
Potassium humate	1.88	0.56	3.26	40.90	125.63	56.05	37.89
LS.D at (0.05)	0.012	0.022	0.119	0.635	2.57	1.07	0.570
<b>C. Potassium rates (K<sub>2</sub>O g/l)</b>							
0	2.18	0.76	3.57	25.72	142.17	65.01	24.96
4	1.92	0.66	3.45	35.86	137.66	60.60	31.86
8	1.55	0.31	2.80	42.15	103.04	43.78	8.30
12	1.37	0.52	3.13	45.69	124.37	54.65	42.84
LS.D at (0.05)	0.020	0.019	0.114	0.980	2.87	1.77	0.892
<b>Interaction effects</b>							
A xB	***	n.s	n.s	**	**	n.s	n.s
A xC	***	***	**	***	***	***	***
B xC	***	***	n.s	***	***	***	***
A xBxC	***	***	n.s	**	n.s	n.s	*

### 3.4 Yield and yield component

The results in Table 4 indicated that the application of sugar beet was affected by foliar sprayed by boron, potassium sources, rates, and their interactions, which had a significant effect on traits of sugar beet, including root length (cm), root diameter (cm), root fresh weight (kg/plant), root. Yield, and top yield (t/ha) ( $p < 0.01$ ). Plots treated with 4 g B/l showed notable improvements in these traits compared to the control (without boron addition). The highest root length (32.13cm), root diameter (14.45cm), root fresh weight (1.17kg/plant), root yield, and top yield (54.78 and 32.60 t/ha respectively ) for the treatments receiving 4 g B/l. However, the lowest values realized for without boron. This could be attributed to boron's role in regulating cytokine levels in plants, which enhances cell division and meristem cell activity, leading to increased root length and diameter. Moreover, boron has a stimulatory effect on the rate of photosynthesis by improving carbohydrate metabolism and

**Table (4). Yield and yield components of sugar beet grown in saline soil as affected by boron, potassium sources, and rates (combined analysis of two seasons)**

Traits Treatments	Root Length (cm)	Root diameter (cm)	Fresh weight of root (kg/plant)	Weight of root yield (t/ha)	Weight of top yield (t/ha)
<b>A. Boron (4 g/l)</b>					
without	23.38	11.31	0.73	37.00	21.32
with	32.13	14.45	1.17	54.78	32.60
<b>LS.D at (0.05)</b>	1.31	2.29	0.047	3.71	1.67
<b>B. Potassium source</b>					
K- sulphate	25.25	11.58	0.81	40.32	23.04
K- silicate	27.6	12.59	0.94	46.31	26.95
K- humate	30.41	14.47	1.1	51.03	30.89
<b>LS.D at (0.05)</b>	0.433	1.17	0.019	0.650	0.32
<b>C. Potassium rates (K<sub>2</sub>O g/l)</b>					
0	20.85	11.34	0.84	32.34	17.76
4	27.63	12.38	0.91	44.28	26.74
8	30.32	12.99	0.96	51.54	30.17
12	32.22	14.82	1.08	55.38	33.16
<b>LS.D at (0.05)</b>	0.412	1.29	0.015	0.650	0.271
<b>Interaction effects</b>					
<b>A xB</b>	***	*	**	**	***
<b>A xC</b>	n.s	**	***	***	ns
<b>B xC</b>	n.s	n.s	***	***	***
<b>A xBxC</b>	***	n.s	***	***	***

ns=not significant, \* $p < 0.05$  \*\* $p < 0.01$

making it easier for photosynthetic products to get from the leaves to the store roots, which explains its important functions in root growth and foliage development (Aly et al.,2020 and Bhatnagar et al. 2021). Similar findings were reported in sugar beet study by Mohammed and Nasef (2024) who found that the maximum diameter, length, fresh weight, dry matter and yield of root were observed with 4 g/L of borax as foliar application.

Regarding the potassium sources , the highest values for root length (30.41 cm), root diameter (14.47 cm), root fresh weight (1.1 kg/plant), root yield (51.03 t/ha), and top yield (30.89 t/ha) were observed in the K-humate treatment. Furthermore, increasing the potassium application rate from 0 to 4, 8, and 12 g/l resulted in significant enhancements in root length, root diameter, root fresh weight (kg/plant), root yield, and top yield (t/ha). Notably, the application of K-fertilizer at 12 g/L led to a 54.53% increase in root length, a 30.69% increase in root diameter, a 28.57% increase in root fresh weight, a 71.24% increase in root yield, and an 86.17%

increase in top yield compared to the control (0 g K<sub>2</sub>O/l). These findings are supported by Ibrahim et al. (2017) who reported that potassium humate at a concentration of 8 g/l achieved the highest significant mean values for top length, fresh and dry leaf yield per plant, fresh yield per feddan, root length, root diameter, and fresh and dry root yield/plant, as well as fresh yield (ton/fed). The percentage increases were 22%, 53%, 71%, 15%, 24%, 20%, 62%, 51%, and 8.6%, respectively, compared to the control treatment. These increases may be attributed to potassium humate, which plays a crucial role in enhancing plant viability and downstream processing. It not only promotes plant growth and increases leaf area but also facilitates the transfer of essential nutrients to storage areas like seeds. Additionally, potassium humate increase the plant's efficiency in converting carbon metabolism products into seeds, leading to fuller and more productive growth. This highlights the significance of potassium humate in optimizing downstream processing efficiency Madghash and Ali, 2023).

Commented [h12]: Support by reference

The results indicate a significant interaction effect between boron and potassium sources on root length, diameter, fresh weight, and yield (Table 5). The greatest increase was observed with the addition of 4 g B/l in combination with potassium humate. With respect to the effect of interaction between boron and potassium rates, applying 4 g B/l with potassium at a rate of 3 g/L realized the maximum significant increment of root fresh weight, root yield, and top yield. Also, statistical analysis (Table 5) revealed that potassium sources and rates had a significant effect on all yield and yield component traits, except for root length and diameter. The highest increase was observed with the application of potassium humate at a rate of 12 g K<sub>2</sub>O/l.

### 3.5 Quality parameters and sugar yield

Statistical analysis of the data presented in Table 5 revealed values of root quality traits (protein, proline, sugars (%), sugar yield (t/ha) and chlorophyll) of sugar beet plants, which were influenced by foliar application of boron, different sources and rates of potassium and their interactions at harvest as a mean of the two growing seasons. Data in Table 2 indicated that the values of all mentioned traits were significantly influenced by boron foliar spraying. The highest values for most traits were observed in plants spraying with 4 g B/l compared to without treated. Similarly, Rashed (2020) demonstrated that the application of boric acid (200 ppm) significantly increased sugar percentage and sugar yield. Furthermore, El-Kalawy (2021) reported that spraying sugar beet with boron at 250 ppm resulted in the highest concentration of B in the shoots, sucrose content in the root juice, juice purity, and total sugar yield. The observed enhancement in quality parameters can be attributed to the important role that boron plays in various plant functions such as sugar transport, cell division, cell-wall synthesis, root elongation, cytoskeletal proteins, plasma membrane enzymes, nucleic acids, indoleacetic acid, polyamines, ascorbic acid, and phenol metabolism and transport (Mandal et al., 2023).

In terms of potassium sources, the findings in Table 5 show that all the mentioned traits, except for proline, increased when potassium humate was added compared to potassium sulfate. The data in Table 5 also indicate that increasing the potassium level from zero to 12 g/l led to a significant rise in protein content, sugar percentage, sugar yield, and chlorophyll. However, proline content decreased. The positive impact of potassium humate treatments may be attributed to the beneficial role of potassium in plant growth, development, and productivity, as previously mentioned. It is important to distinguish between the direct and indirect effects of humic acid on plant growth. Furthermore, foliar spraying with humic molecules has been shown to enhance leaf water retention, photosynthetic activity, and antioxidant metabolism (Fahramand et al. 2014).

The interactions between the studied treatments are shown in Table 5. It was observed that the interaction between AxB had a highly significant effect on protein, proline, and sugar

yields. The interaction between AxC was significant for all the above parameters except sugar content. The interactions between BxC and AxBxC had a significant effect on protein, proline, sugar percentage, and sugar yield.

### 3.6 Simple correlation matrix

Correlation coefficients between all pairs of studied traits are presented in Fig. 1, The results indicate a highly significant positive correlation between sugar yield and the following traits: root length (0.95\*\*), root yield (0.99\*\*), top yield (0.97\*\*), protein (0.84\*\*), sugar % (0.92\*\*), root Fe (0.94\*\*), root Mn (0.94\*\*), root Zn (0.96\*\*), and root B (0.96\*\*). Conversely, highly significant negative correlations were observed between proline and the following traits: root length (-0.94\*\*), root yield (-0.93\*\*), top yield (-0.93\*\*), protein (-0.78\*\*), sugar yield (-0.93\*\*), chlorophyll (-0.78\*\*), root Fe (-0.92\*\*), root Mn (-0.93\*\*), root Zn (-0.93\*\*), and root B (-0.88\*\*).


Commented [h13]: Support by reference

Table (5). Quality parameters and sugar yield of sugar beet grown in saline soil as affected by boron, potassium sources, and rates (combined analysis of two seasons)

Traits Treatments	Protein (%)	Proline (mg/g.f.w)	Sugar (%)	Sugar yield (t/ha)	Chlorophyll (mg/g.f.w.)
<b>A. Boron (4 g/l)</b>					
without	10.39	53.42	14.49	5.49	6.54
with	11.56	<b>36.56</b>	16.51	9.10	6.55
LS.D at (0.05)	0.042	2.75	1.01	0.354	n.s
<b>B. Potassium source</b>					
K- sulphate	10.11	50.96	14.85	5.96	6.33
K- silicate	11.08	45.55	15.27	7.25	6.47
K- humate	11.73	38.47	16.39	8.68	6.83
LS.D at (0.05)	0.088	0.914	0.205	0.211	0.160
<b>C. Potassium rates (K<sub>2</sub>O g/l)</b>					
0	8.59	64.79	13.93	4.37	5.02
4	9.71	48.57	15.17	6.85	6.56
8	11.98	36.03	16.16	8.59	6.97
12	13.6	30.58	16.74	9.38	7.63
LS.D at (0.05)	0.128	0.738	0.273	0.177	0.185
<b>Interaction effects</b>					
A xB	***	***	n.s	***	n.s
A xC	***	***	n.s	***	***
B xC	***	***	*	***	n.s
A xBxC	***	***	*	**	n.s

ns=not significant, \*p<0.05 \*\*p<0.01

Commented [h14]: No need to describe the ns paramete



**Fig. 1 Correlation matrix between yield quality and its components in sugar beet**  
 RL: Root length, RL: Root diameter, RY: Root yield t/ha TY: Top yield t/ha, protein, Proline, sugar percentage, sugar yield t/ha, and CHL:chlorophyll, Root Fe, Root Mn, Root Zn and Root B.

#### 4. Conclusion

The application of boron and potassium sources, particularly potassium humate, has a significant impact on soil nutrient availability, enhancing the growth, yield, and quality of sugar beet. Macronutrient availability is notably influenced by the interaction of boron and potassium sources, except for available phosphorus. The availability of boron, iron, manganese, and zinc is affected by potassium sources. The optimal combination of boron with potassium humate (12 g/l of K<sub>2</sub>O) results in significant increases in root length, diameter, fresh weight, root yield, and top yield. Boron plays a crucial role in regulating cytokine levels, enhancing cell division and meristem activity, leading to improved root traits. Additionally, foliar spraying of boron has a significant impact on protein, proline, sugars, sugar yield, and chlorophyll content.

**Commented [h15]:** Conclusion and recommendation

**Commented [h16]:** Refine it

## References

Commented [h17]: Rfine it

- A.O.A.C. (2005). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis, 26th Ed. AOAC International, Washington, D.C; USA.
- AbdElghany, S. H., Saad, S. A., Arafat, A. A., and Shaban, Kh. (2019) Effect of different irrigation period and potassium humate on some soil properties and carrot productivity under saline soil conditions. Middle East J. Appl. Sci.; 9:1117-1127.
- Abdelwahab, Sh. ;Nassar, S. ; Elgendy, A. and Shake, V. (2022). Value chain Analysis of sugar beet in Egypt : with a focus on the production of refined sugar. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Economics. 32 (3) : 888- 898.
- Ahmed N, Abid M, Ahmad F, Ullah MA, Javaid Q, Ali MA (2011). Impact of boron fertilization on dry matter production and mineral constitution of irrigated cotton. Pak J Bot 43:2903–2910
- Ali, M. A.M., and Nasef, I. N. (2024). Improving Growth, Yield, and Root Quality of Table Beet Plants by Phosphorus Fertilization and Borax Foliar Application Under New Valley Conditions. Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research, 51(2), 181-195.
- Aly, E. F. A., El-Bakary, H. M. Y., & Mekhaile, N. E. G. (2020). Yield And Quality Response of Some Sugar Beet Varieties to Nitrogen and Boron Fertilization In Sandy Soil. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 71(2), 95-105.
- Bates L. S., Walden R. P., and Teare I. D. (1973). Rapid determination of proline for water stress studies. Plant Soil 39, 205-207.
- Bhatnagar, T., Ameta, K., Singh, M., Tak, J. K., Kaushik, R., Dubey, R., and Chippa, B. (2021b). Influence of foliar application of boron on growth and yield of beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal, 10(9), 710–714.
- Burt, R., ed. 2004. Soil Survey Laboratory Methods Manual. Soil Survey Investigations Report No. 42. Version 4.0. Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center, Lincoln, NE.
- Chapman, H.D. and Pratt, P.F. (1961) Methods of analysis for soils, plants and waters. University of California, Los Angeles, 60-61, 150-179.
- Cottenie A, Verloo M, Velghe G and CameriyneckR (1982). Chemical Analysis of plant and soil. Laboratory of analytical and Agrochemistry, State University., Ghent, Belgium.
- El-Kalawy, S. (2021). Effect of Potassium Levels as Soil Application and Foliar Spray with Silicon and Boron on Yield and Root Quality of Sugar Beet under Clay Soil. Middle East J. Agric. Res., 10(1): 207-226

- Elmasry, H. M., & Al-Maracy, S. H. (2023). Effect of nitrogen and boron fertilization on the productivity and quality of sugar beet. *Egyptian Sugar Journal*, 20, 15-23.
- El-Sheref, G. F. H., Abd El-Hady, A.S. and Mohamed, G.A. (2024). Impact of Humate Substances and Synthetic Polymers on Improving Quinoa Productivity and Soil properties. *Middle East J. Agric. Res.*, 13(3): 820-831.
- El-Zayat, H. (2022). Agriculture study on sugar beet in Egypt. *Acta Scientific Agri*. 6 (1) : 17 – 27.
- Fahramand, M. ; H. Moradi ; M. Noori ; A. Sobkhizi ; M.Adibian ; S. Abdollahi and K. Rigi (2014). Influence of humic acid on increase yield of plants and soil properties. *Intern. J. Farm &Alli Sci.*, 3(3): 339-341.
- FAO (2020). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, FAOSTAT, FAO Statistics Division 2014, October 2020.
- Hitham, E. A.; Ibrahim , S. H.; El-Safy , N. K. (2021). Effect of potassium humat and boron fertilization levels on yield and quality of sugar in sandy soil. *AlexandriaSci, Exchange J*. 42 (2) : 395 – 405.
- Ibrahim, A.M., H.S. Khafaga, A.S. Abd El-Nabi, S.S. Eissa and S.A. Shehata (2017). Transplanting of sugar beet with soil drench by potassium humate or potassium silicate enhanced plant growth and productivity under saline soil conditions. *Current Sci. Inter.*, 6(2):303-313.
- Ibrahim, M. E. M., Faiyad, R., and El-Gamal, I. S. H. (2020). Impact of foliar spraying of some potassium sources and boron levels on sugar beet quantity and quality. *Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering*, 11(12), 835-844.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973) Soil chemical analysis. In: Englewood Cliffs N (ed) .
- Klute, A. (1986). *Methods of Analysis. Part 1, Soil Physical Properties*, ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI.
- Kuntoji, A.; Subbarayappa, C.T.; Basavaraja P.K. And Mukunda G.K. (2019). Effect of different sources and levels of boron on quality of tomato (*solanumlycopersicum*). *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 11 (6) :8037-8040.
- Madghash, M. R., and Ali, O. N. (2023). Effect of Potassium Humate and Spraying With Boron on the Yield and Its Components of Sesame Crop IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1225 012067
- Maha, M. A. (2019). Effect of Sources and Rates of Potassium Fertilizer on Growth, Productivity and Quality of Sugar Beet.
- Mandal S, Banik GC, S R. (2023). Boron Management for Sustainable Agriculture: An Assessment for Crop and Soil. *J Agric Technol*. 10(2): 81-100.
- Mohamed, W. H. (2012). Effect of humic acid and calcium forms on dry weight and nutrient uptake of maize plant under saline condition. *Austr. J. Basic and Appl. Sci.*, 6 (8): 597 – 604.

- Olsen SR (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. Washington, USDA Circular 939, U.S. Government Printing Office, 1–19
- Page AL, Miller RH and Keeny DR (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2- Chemical and Microbiological Properties second Edition. Soc. of Agron. Madison, Wisconsin, USA. 5371 Prentice-Hall, Inc, New Delhi
- Rashed, S. H. (2020). Effect of mole drain spacing, some soil amendments and boron fertilization on improving some soil properties and sugar beet productivity in salt-affected soils. *J. of Soil Sci. Agri. Engi.*, 11(7), 341-347.
- Rehab, I.F, El Maghraby, S.S., Kandil, E.E. and Ibrahim, N.Y. (2019). Productivity and Quality of Sugar Beet in Relation to Humic Acid and Boron Fertilization Under Nubaria Conditions. *Alexandria Sci. Exchange J.* 40(1): 115-126.
- Schnitzer, M. (1992). Significance of soil organic matter in soil formation, transport processes in soil and formation of soil structure. *Soil Utilization and Soil Fertility, Humus Budget*, 4: 63-81.
- Seadh, S., Abdel-Moneam, M. A., El-Sherpiny, M. A., & Mohamed, A. I. A. (2024). Enhancing Sugar Beet Performance under Water Scarcity Via Spraying Boron and Potassium Silicate: A Field Trial under Egyptian Conditions. *Journal of Plant Production*, 15(4), 145-152.
- Shaaban, M. M.; Hegazy, E. M. and Jaime, A. T. S. (2011). Fruit, Vegetable and Cereal Science and Biotechnology. 5 (Special Issue 2), 99-102.
- Shaban, Kh. A. ; Abbas, Z. M. and Salem, A. Kh. (2014). Effect of different sources of potassium fertilization and bio- fertilizer on some soil properties and fodder beet productivity under saline soil. *Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci.*, 29 (12): 118-1195.
- Shaban, K.H.A. H., Eman, M. A. F. and Dalia, A. S. (2014). Impact of humic acid and mineral nitrogen fertilization on soil chemical properties and yield and quality of sugar beet under saline soil. *J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ.* 5 (10):1317-1335.
- Soltanpour, P.N. and Schwab, A.P. (1977) A New Soil Test for Simultaneous Extraction of Macro and Micro Nutrients in Alkaline Soils. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 8, 195-207.
- USDA (United States Department of Agriculture (2023). Report Sugar Annual. Report No. EG 2023 – 0006.
- Wang, M., Q. Zheng, Q. Shen and S. Guo, 2013. The critical role of potassium in plant stress response. *Inter. J. Molecular Sci.*, 14(4): 7370- 7390.
- Witham, F.H., Blaydes, D.F. and Devin, P.M. (1971). *Experiments in plant physiology.* Van Nosland Reihold. Co. New York, 55-58.
- Zakaria, S. M. (2012). Potassium fertilization efficiency for wheat plants grown in saline soil in relation with bio- and organic fertilization. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 27 (7): 372- 388.
- Habib, A.A.M. ; El- Azizy, F.A. and Abd-El baset, A.M. (2020). Effect of Potassium and Boron on Growth and Productivity of Broad Bean in Sandy Soil - Baloza-North Sinai. *Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci.*, 35 (11) 2020.