

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126411
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Boron, Potassium Sources and Rates on Soil Fertility, Sugar Beet Yield and Quality in Saline Clay Soil.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has little impact on scientific because of the information provided in the manuscript is very short. Please do research with more repeated experiments on saline clay soil to confirm this conclusion. If possible, please add some relationships with other soil characteristics related to soil fertility.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of this article is almost appropriate but author could modify a little. The authors could change like this "Effect of Boron, Potassium Sources and Rates on Soil Fertility, Sugar Beet Yield and Quality cultivated in Saline Clay Soil."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good to understand.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In materials and methods section, please use the word plural for analysis like "Analyses". Please format all the tables similarly.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please check and correct if need for effect of B on root length and weight. Because only the B application was impacted too in these parameters. If possible, please add other correlations with soil fertility parameters. Research on B is very important in scientific era on soil parameters, so this could be a enthusiastic if the research repeated with more soil types.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Author could use more information in the introduction with recent references. I would recommend the authors to add more clarification in discussion section to support their results with recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments	English language is almost okay.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Mahedy Alam
Department, University & Country	Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Bangladesh