

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_127886
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality characteristics of bread produced with blends of flour from cassava, wheat and Bambara groundnut (<i>Vigna subterranea</i>)
Type of the Article	Agriculture and food nutrition

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript apt and timely, giving the nutritional value given to bread which would improve and increase the nutrition gotten when bread and Bambara groundnut are combined with wheat.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title suitable not suitable because it has not given credit to the work Suggested Suitable title should "Comparative analysis of Nutritional value of Bread made of High Quality Cassava flour (HQCF), Bambara Groundnut and Wheat "	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive enough.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes , the references are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Well prepared and presented manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adeola Babatunde
Department, University & Country	Premier College of Education, Nigeria