

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_128085
Title of the Manuscript:	Current Situation, Challenges and opportunities of agriculture in Afghanistan
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript on the current situation, challenges, and opportunities of agriculture in Afghanistan is highly relevant for the scientific community as it provides critical insights into the socio-economic and environmental factors influencing agricultural practices in a conflict-affected region. This research can guide policymakers, aid organizations, and researchers in designing targeted interventions to enhance agricultural productivity and resilience in Afghanistan.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Summarize Data into abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript is correct. But it requires updated data for 2023 & 2024	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	CONCLUSION is not clear.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pawan Kumar Gupta
Department, University & Country	Mggac Mahe, India