

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRHE_128363
Title of the Manuscript:	Etiology of Liver Cirrhosis; a Cross-sectional Study of a Tertiary Centre in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Research- Cross sectional study

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The findings of this manuscript are of significant importance to the scientific community, particularly in the context of public health and hepatology. By identifying Hepatitis B virus (HBV) as the predominant etiological factor for liver cirrhosis in Bangladesh, the study provides crucial insights into the disease burden in a high-risk population. Understanding the socio-demographic characteristics of affected individuals, such as the higher prevalence among females and the 30–50 age group, can help tailor prevention and management strategies. Furthermore, this research highlights the urgent need for targeted interventions, such as vaccination programs and early detection, to mitigate the impact of HBV and reduce the incidence of cirrhosis in resource-limited settings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Data Analysis: The abstract does not specify the statistical methods used to analyze the data. Including information about the analytical tools and any adjustments for confounding variables would improve transparency. Ethical Considerations: Ethical approval and consent processes are not mentioned but are critical for a study involving human subjects.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on the provided abstract, the manuscript appears to follow a scientifically sound approach. It uses a cross-sectional analytical design, which is appropriate for assessing the socio-demographic and etiological factors of liver cirrhosis in a specific population. The study involves a relatively large sample size (896 patients) and collects data using face-to-face interviews and medical record reviews, which enhances the reliability of the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Clarity and Precision:</p> <p>The phrase "HBV exaggerates the chance of having cirrhosis in Bangladesh" is unclear. A more precise academic phrasing would be: "HBV infection is a major risk factor for cirrhosis in Bangladesh."</p> <p>Ensure consistency in terminology; use either "Hepatitis B virus" or "HBV" throughout.</p> <p>Grammar</p> <p>The sentence "Patients came to the outdoor hepatology department of BSMMU with the confirmed diagnosis of cirrhosis of the liver" can be simplified and clarified: "Patients with a confirmed diagnosis of liver cirrhosis were recruited from the hepatology outpatient department of BSMMU."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- The manuscript has a solid foundation and addresses an important public health issue. However, significant improvements are required in language clarity, depth of discussion, contextualization of findings, and overall presentation to meet the standards for scholarly communication. With these revisions, it has the potential to contribute meaningfully to the scientific literature.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Syeda Arshiya Ara</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Al Badar Dental College and Hospital, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India</p>