

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_128485
Title of the Manuscript:	BARRIERS TO ACCESSING AFFORDABLE ANTICANCER MEDICATIONS IN NIGERIA: A PATIENT -CENTRIC PERSPECTIVE
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to

visit this link: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a critical examination of the barriers to affordability of anticancer medications in Nigeria, offering a unique patient-centric perspective that is often underrepresented in healthcare research. By highlighting the socio-economic, systemic, and policy-related challenges faced by patients, it contributes valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of healthcare inequities in low income countries. The findings of this study can guide policymakers, healthcare professionals, and researchers in designing targeted interventions to improve medication accessibility and affordability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Author should add more points in Background because the first line is incomplete and in methodology add sampling technique.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Author should add more references in discussion . Style of the references also varied. Author should follow the same style of the references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Mild correction needed. Author should add the dropout percentage of the patient and add the limitation of the study . Author did not mention the ethical clearance certificate number or Institutional Reviewer Board number.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prashanthi.M.R
Department, University & Country	SRM University, India