

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_128485
Title of the Manuscript:	Barriers To Accessing Affordable Anticancer Medications In Nigeria: A Patient -Centric Perspective
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript titled "Barriers to Accessing Affordable Anticancer Medications in Nigeria" addresses the issue of cancer care in Nigeria. It sheds light on the barriers faced by patients and informs policy-making and healthcare practices. The research can guide targeted interventions and support systems for improved medication adherence and patient outcomes. Ultimately, this manuscript contributes to the discourse on health equity and access to essential medications.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title "Barriers to Accessing Affordable Anticancer Medications in Nigeria: A Patient-Centric Perspective" is generally suitable, as it clearly indicates the focus of the study on both barriers to access and the patient-centric approach.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract provides a foundation but could be enhanced to ensure comprehensiveness and clarity. Here are my suggestions for additions and adjustments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The background could include a mention of the impact of these barriers on patient outcomes to underscore the urgency of the issue.</li> <li>• The methodology section would benefit from more specificity regarding participant selection criteria and the methods used for data collection and analysis.</li> <li>• The results section contains somewhat minimal demographic information. Consider adding insights on specific barriers faced by participants, such as financial, logistical, or informational challenges.</li> <li>• The conclusion should emphasize implications for policy or practice, providing a stronger call to action based on the findings.</li> </ul>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, however it can be enhanced by adding literature review section and include objectives in introduction.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and quality of English in the provided excerpt are generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are several areas where improvements can be made for clarity, flow, and grammatical accuracy. Here are some suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some sentences are lengthy and could be broken down for better readability</li> <li>- Ensure consistency in terminology</li> <li>- Correct instances of grammatical errors</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Kemal M. Surji</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hawler Medical University, Iraq</b>