

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_127892
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient Composition Of Some Traditional Foods Consumed By Preschoolers In Rivers South Senatorial Zone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article presents very imp data about the commonly consumed foods especially for preschool children and this practice should be emphasized and will be helpful in defining food composition tables and dietary requirements	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Key words are not mentioned , please input at least 3-5 key words	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction was very short, it would be better if nutritional status of the specified zone mentioned in the manuscript. • The key ingredients in the traditionally consumed foods was not mentioned properly, please mention short notes on key ingredients in each food and method of cooking (Ex: Boiling, Sauting, braising) • The RDA suggested by WHO for preschool children was not mentioned, as some of the foods contain good calcium and other minerals it would increase the scientific nature of the manuscript if it was compared with reference foods in other areas/WHO recommendations for specified age group 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript was written very well in terms of content , it would be better to include above suggestions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kale Bala Nirmala
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana Agricultural University, India