

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128683
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Evaluation of Mathematical Interdisciplinary Learning Based on a Improved SOLO Classification Method
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Interdisciplinary learning is the need of the hour. Through an interdisciplinary approach, students can make connections between disciplines and see the correlations which improve overall learning. This is very much true in case of a subject like mathematics. The students also receive a more relevant, timely, less fragmented and enriching learning experience through interdisciplinary learning. The present article is in tune with the evaluation of interdisciplinary learning in mathematics, using SOLO-ID classification evaluation method.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Analyzing Mathematical Interdisciplinary Learning Using an enhanced SOLO-ID Classification Evaluation Method	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No The opening sentence itself has to be modified and written after stating about the significance of interdisciplinary learning. Even though it is a review paper a systematic methodology in brief can be given. The abstract can end with some implications.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is to be modified. A conceptual framework would have emerged from the literature review.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference in APA is needed	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Can be improved	
Optional/General comments	The information presented represents valuable information regarding the interdisciplinary learning and its method of evaluation. That said, there are several areas in the manuscript that deserve improvements. Among the areas that need improving is work to provide better citations that will help place the current work in better context of the scientific progress over the past years. Additionally, its futuristic applicability represents its scope in other subjects too.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sojia John
Department, University & Country	Mount Tabor Training College, India