

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128683
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Evaluation of Mathematical Interdisciplinary Learning Based on a Improved SOLO Classification Method
Type of the Article	Position-Documentary

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is not scientific but position-documentary paper	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Analysis of Mathematical Interdisciplinary Learning Based on a Improved SOLO Classification Method	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As a position-documentary paper nothing much except the concept of SOLO needs to be emphasised right from the on-set rather than keeping readers in suspense	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	As earlier stated not scientifically laid out but position-documentary paper. Where scientifically concept comes in is when methodology is well expatiated cum what actually brought the study into limelight(Punching Background to the study)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	As far as it is position-documentary and not evaluative study the references were fair but need to be 7 th Edition APA format	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The grammatical construct should be overhauled to give the paper right direction, flow of thought regarding the area of the coverage and where such position-documentary paper could be replicated	
Optional/General comments	The paper should strictly follow the conventional paper writing instead of the project-writing approach as this would pass to he readers the beauty of the messages being conceived by the author	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olaoye Adetunji Abiola
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University, Nigeria