

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127305
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Creative Thinking and Collaboration Skills on the Learning Outcomes of Students of Integrated Islamic Junior High School Nurul Ilmi Jambi: Environmental Pollution Material Perspective
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Manuscript explores the influence of creative thinking and collaboration skills on student learning outcomes, focusing on environmental pollution material. Relevant topic as it addresses critical 21st-century skills, aligning with global educational priorities.</p> <p>The findings contribute to pedagogical strategies, emphasizing collaborative and creative thinking in science education.</p> <p>It is particularly valuable for educators seeking to improve learning outcomes in integrated school contexts, combining traditional and modern educational approaches.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>The current title is descriptive and accurately reflects the study's scope.</p> <p>N/A</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract provides an overview of the study. Could explicitly mention key numerical results or broader implications.</p> <p>Include key findings (e.g., the 50.8% influence of variables X1 and X2 on Y) and mention the statistical tools used (ex: SPSS).</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Scientifically sound, employs quantitative methods and robust statistical analysis (e.g., F test, T test). Rationale for selecting a quantitative descriptive approach could be better justified in the introduction.</p> <p>Could expand on why this specific methodology was chosen and its relevance to the research objectives.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>The manuscript includes recent references, with 80% of citations from the last five years.</p> <p>N/A</p>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication, minor improvements could be made: e.g., "Creative thinking skills has" should be "Creative thinking skills have."</p> <p>Perform a detailed grammar and readability check to enhance clarity.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kautilya Nishant
Department, University & Country	Westcliff University, USA