

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127305
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Creative Thinking and Collaboration Skills on the Learning Outcomes of Students of Integrated Islamic Junior High School Nurul Ilmi Jambi: Environmental Pollution Material Perspective
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author' in the m here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript generally has a well-organized structure and includes essential elements of a scientific article. The manuscript addresses an important topic about creative thinking and collaboration skills, which is highly relevant to 21st-century education needs. The study provides insights into the relationship between creative thinking, collaboration, and learning outcomes, particularly within the context of Islamic integrated schools.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is specific but could be more engaging. Consider adding elements that highlight its benefits or practical context.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good summary but should be more concise (max. 250 words) and clearly structured into <i>background, objectives, methods, results, and conclusion</i> .	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The background information is detailed but occasionally repetitive. Try summarizing the discussion on the importance of creative thinking and collaboration skills. • The theoretical and literature explanations are supportive but could be condensed to focus on their relevance to this research. • The methodology is well-described, but the rationale for choosing a quantitative descriptive 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references appear to be from the year 2024, which might be a typographical error. Ensure accuracy for chronological consistency.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The article uses formal language effectively but includes some long and complex sentences. Consider breaking them into shorter sentences to improve readability. • Some terms (e.g., creativity, collaboration) could be explained further to aid readers less familiar with the concepts. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Suriansyah
Department, University & Country	Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Indonesia