

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Cardiology Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_127706
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Indoor and Outdoor Sports Training to Cardio-respiratory Parameters of Athletes. A cross-sectional study at the University of Port Harcourt
Type of the Article	Research paper

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Research study highlights the influence of indoor and outdoor sports training to cardio-respiratory parameters of athletes. It helps to understand how the environment and clean air helps in developing cardio respiratory efficiency. And also helps to understand its contribution to sports performance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Most suitable. Cardio respiratory parameters are measured and analysed under indoor and outdoor sports training.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the methodology the researcher might have included the duration of the subjects, ie how long they have practiced in indoor and outdoor sports training. Justify while considering vital capacity why height of the subject was not measured?	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically all the data are correct regarding cardio and respiratory system.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Very suitable references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Most suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Overall the research study was good. It highlights the role of environment on the development of cardio-respiratory parameters. 48 male and 28 female subjects makes 76. But why it is mentioned as 75? Conclusion is upto the standard of the AJCR research journal.</b>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Glady Kirubakar S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ymca College of Physical Education, India</b>