

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128461
Title of the Manuscript:	BELIEFS ON NATURAL DISASTER AND MITIGATION PRACTICES OF ILOKANOS IN SANTA MARCELA, APAYAO
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Given the climate change that we are now experiencing, it is important to make researches about the awareness of people regarding natural calamities and its mitigation or prevention. With these, risks and damages may be lessened and people may be made aware of the necessary preparations that they would be needing when calamities strike. This paper will also give the scientific community the necessary information to capture the beliefs of people regarding natural calamities and their mitigation practices which may be of importance as source of related study and literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is concise, easy to understand and encompassing. It is suggested to retain the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Make ilocanos into "Ilocanos". - Abstract should be one paragraph only. Merge the first and second paragraph to avoid confusion to the reader. - The recommendations should be in paragraph form and not as if in bulleted format: e.g. <i>In light of the findings and conclusions, it is recommended to conduct more studies regarding disaster mitigation practices...</i> 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The method used is appropriate to the study. - They have gathered enough data from the respondents to scientifically back the study. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The resources are not sufficient. Add more RRL and RRS. - If possible, add resources from the locale or from the country of the study since I have seen that there are little resources from the locale. This is necessary to further support the study since each country has different climates. 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The language quality is suitable. - Recheck the accounts from the respondents since there are some typos in the narration. - Please be consistent with the use of "Ilokano" or "Ilocano" to avoid confusion. If you used "Ilokano/s" use it all throughout the paper. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The 3rd research question was in any way included in the paradigm of the study. Include it in the first box. - The presentation of the conclusions and recommendations should be one-to-one or parallel with the research questions. If you have 4 research questions you should also have 4 conclusions and 4 recommendations. Merge conclusions and recommendations if necessary. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rico B. Orenia
Department, University & Country	Anglo Singapore International School, Philippines