

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128389
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Noise Pollution Level of Selected Construction Sites with in the Residential Area in Obio/Akpo Local Government Area,Rivers States, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Relevance of the Topic: The study tackles an important environmental issue—noise pollution—in a rapidly urbanizing area. Its relevance is heightened by the potential health and quality-of-life impacts for residents and workers in such environments.</p> <p>Clear Research Focus: The study is well-defined, aiming to quantify noise pollution levels at construction sites and evaluate their implications for health and compliance with regulatory standards.</p> <p>Use of Standards: The research compares noise pollution levels to internationally recognized thresholds, such as NESREA standards for industrial and residential areas, which enhances its credibility.</p> <p>Comprehensive Data Collection: The use of a sound level meter with specific measurements for minimum, maximum, and average noise levels ensures reliable and detailed data collection across multiple sites.</p> <p>Practical Implications: The study highlights health risks such as hearing impairment and calls for redesigning urban planning to minimize noise pollution, making it actionable for policymakers and urban planners.</p> <p>Well-Structured Results: Results are clearly presented with tables and figures comparing noise levels and frequencies across different sites, making the data accessible and easy to interpret.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Repetitive Abstract and Conclusion: The abstract and conclusion largely restate the same findings without offering distinct insights, such as broader implications or recommendations for mitigation strategies.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Limited Scope of Study: The research is restricted to only four construction sites, which limits the generalizability of its findings. Including more sites would have strengthened the study's representativeness.</p> <p>Insufficient Exploration of Causes: While the study mentions industrial tools and poor urban planning as contributors to noise pollution, these factors are not investigated in depth or supported by direct evidence.</p> <p>Statistical Analysis Missing: There is no statistical validation of the observed differences in noise levels or frequencies, which would enhance the reliability of the conclusions.</p> <p>Narrow Focus on Noise Levels: The study does not delve deeply into the specific health effects or potential mitigation measures for the identified noise pollution, which could enhance its practical impact.</p> <p>Technical Language Accessibility: Certain technical terms and methodologies (e.g., noise frequencies) are not explained adequately, making the study less accessible to a general audience.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Belay Sitotaw Goshu</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia</p>