

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_121762
Title of the Manuscript:	ACCOUNTING INFORMATION AND ITS ROLE IN THE MANAGEMENT OF THE FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND INFLATION IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is 19 pages long, but the most important part related to the research results is summarized in the last 2 pages. When reading this article, I initially felt like it was a brief review of the economic and financial situation in Nigeria, similar to a magazine article. It wasn't until the research methodology section that I began to feel it was a research article. I believe that while the arguments may be sound, the length makes it rather verbose and confusing for the reader. Therefore, as a fellow researcher, I encourage the journal to allow the author to adjust the structure according to the journal's criteria and at least cut down on some of the unnecessary sections that I will outline later.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think title maybe change, such as "Exploring the Role of Accounting Information in Managing Nigeria's Foreign Exchange and Inflation"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	appropriate abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	This is the biggest issue with this article. I have the following suggestions: 1. Combine the four sections: Introduction, Background of the Study, Statement of the Problem, Objectives of the Study and Scpo of the study. These sections contain too much overlapping content. 2. Move the Literature Review section after the Research Design section. 3. The Research Design section should include Research Questions, Research Hypothesis, and Methodology."	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article makes a small contribution to the experiment because accounting information systems are always the most reliable source of information for making corporate management decisions. The research methodology needs more investment; the sample size is too small, and it consists of a 5-point questionnaire, which lacks value due to the absence of quantitative factors necessary for conducting validation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The journal should proactively review	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Vuong Thanh Long
Department, University & Country	Thu Dau Mot University, Viet Nam