

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128804
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Nanotechnology Applications in Food Processing, Packaging, and Preservation
Type of the Article	Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Comment should be improved Explanation is good regarding usage of nanotechnology in food processing, packaging and preservation. Abstract should be improved. However, more details required in nanostructures and nanomaterial. Add more examples in classification in nanomaterials. Improve discussion part as well. Some grammatical mistakes was there, I mentioned it.	.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	-
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should be improved. Abstract should be in range of 200-250 words only.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	-
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All references are correct and cracked all.	-
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	-
Optional/General comments	Following recent trends in this subject, it is good topic for your selection of articles.	-

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Divya J Kanojiya
Department, University & Country	Sumandeep Vidhyapeeth Deemed to be University, India