

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Advances in Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AIR_128599 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effective Management of Pearl Millet Blast (<i>Pyricularia grisea</i>) Through Combined Chemical and Biological Tactics |
| Type of the Article | Original research article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Blast disease caused by <i>Magnaporthe grisea</i> is an emerging threat that has been gaining momentum in the last few decades. Its disease incidence is increasing due to the changing climatic conditions and it is imperative to understand the biology of the phytopathogen. The phytopathogen is a tricky one to manage and hence, it is necessary to research various management strategies against the same. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The following corrections are required: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All the bar graphs require standard deviations in the form of vertical bars. In the economics calculation, the cost-benefit ratio should be calculated every year and not on pooled data concerning the actual cost of inputs and output. The cost-benefit ratio of the control plot should also be calculated to represent the factual benefit rather than an indicative one. The discussion portion needs to be strengthened. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The references are insufficient and also lack recent ones. | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The quality of English needs improvement with either the American style or the British style of writing. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Md. Mahtab Rashid |
| Department, University & Country | Bihar Agricultural University, India |